On the stochastic stability of the discrete time jump linear system¹

ADAM CZORNIK and ALEKSANDER NAWRAT Department of Automatic Control Silesian Technical University ul. Akademicka 16 44-101 Gliwice POLAND

Abstract: This paper is concerned with problems of mean square stability of discrete and continuous time linear systems parameters of which are dependent on finite-state Markov processes which are directly observed. For this problem new sufficient conditions are obtained. These conditions are simpler to check than the known ones.

Key-Words: Stochastic stability; mean square stability; systems with Markovian jumps.

1. Introduction

Systems subject to abrupt changes or with uncertain dynamics can be naturally modelled as jump linear systems. Because of their applications in fields such as tracking, fault-tolerant control [9], flexible manufacturing processes [11], power systems [10], such systems have drawn extensive attention. Consider discrete-time linear system with Markovian jumps, modelled by

$$x(k) = A(r(k))x(k), \qquad (1)$$

where r(k) is a Markov chain taking values in a finite set $S = \{1, 2, ..., s\}$, characterised by constant probability matrix $P = (p_{ij})_{i, i \in S}$, where

$$p_{ij} = \Pr(r(k+1) = j r(k) = i)$$

initial distribution $p =$

and

ribution
$$\boldsymbol{p} = \left[p_j \right]_{j \in S}$$
,

 $p_j = \Pr(r(0) = j)$. $A(j), j \in S$ are matrices of size $n \times n$. Solution of (1) with initial condition $x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $x(k, x_0, \mathbf{p})$. Denote by $X \otimes Y$ Kronecker product of matrices X and Y, and by I_m identity matrix of size m. Set of all eigenvalues of a square matrix Z is denoted $\mathbf{s}(Z)$. In the case of symmetric matrix Z we assume that the elements $\mathbf{l}_1(Z), \dots, \mathbf{l}_n(Z)$ of $\mathbf{s}(Z)$ are numbered such that $\mathbf{l}_1(Z) \ge \dots \ge \mathbf{l}_n(Z)$. By X' we denote transposition of matrix X. Different concepts of stability of system (1) have been investigated in many papers, see [1]-[5]. In this paper we consider one of them namely mean square stability. Formal definition is given below.

Definition ([1], [2]) The system (1) is called mean square stable, if for all initial conditions $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all initial distributions p, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} E_{\parallel} x(k,x_0,\boldsymbol{p})_{\parallel}^2 = 0.$$

Condition for mean square stability in terms of solutions of certain type of coupled Lyapunov equation has been given in [2]. Other conditions for this type of stability has been proved in [5] and they are presented now.

Theorem 1 [5] System (1) is mean square stable if and only if

$$\max\{\boldsymbol{l}:\boldsymbol{l}\in\boldsymbol{s}(F)\}<1,$$
(2)

where $F = \left(P' \otimes I_{n^2}\right) diag \left[A_i \otimes A_i\right]_{i=1,\dots,s}$.

In this paper we simplify the condition (2) to obtain a sufficient condition for mean square stability which is less numerically involved.

2. Main result

This theorem completely solves the problem of second moment stability. However, condition (2) requires calculation of eigenvalues of the matrix which is of very high dimension $(sn^2 by sn^2)$. Therefore one may be interested in sufficient conditions which are given in terms of matrices of

¹ This research was partially supported by KBN Poland under Grant 3T11A 029 28.

lower sizes. The next theorem gives such a condition.

<u>**Theorem 2**</u> The discrete time jump linear system (1) is stable if

$$\boldsymbol{I}_{1}(\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{P}')\sum_{i=1}^{s}\boldsymbol{I}_{1}^{2}(\boldsymbol{A}_{i}\boldsymbol{A}_{i}') < 1.$$
(3)

In the proof we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1 [6] Let X, Y, Z be square n by n matrices with X'=X, Y'=Y. Then the following inequalities hold

$$\boldsymbol{l}_{1}(X+Y) \leq \boldsymbol{l}_{1}(X) + \boldsymbol{l}_{1}(Y), \qquad (4)$$

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,n} \{ |\boldsymbol{l}| : \boldsymbol{l} \in \boldsymbol{s}(Z) \} \leq \sqrt{\boldsymbol{l}_1(ZZ')} .$$
 (5)

<u>Lemma 2</u> [7] If A and B are square matrices

and $p(x, y) = \sum_{k,l=0}^{r} c_{kl} x^{k} y^{l}$ is a complex polynomial

of two variables, then

$$\boldsymbol{s}\left(\sum_{k,l=0}^{p} c_{kl} A^{k} \otimes B^{l}\right) = \left\{p(x, y): x \in \boldsymbol{s}(A), y \in \boldsymbol{s}(B)\right\}$$

<u>**Proof of the Theorem 2</u>** From the properties of Kronecker product we have</u>

$$(A_i A_i') \otimes (A_i A_i') = (A_i \otimes A_i)(A_i \otimes A_i)'.$$

This together with definition of *F* implies

$$FF' = (PP') \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \left(\left(A_i A_i' \right) \otimes \left(A_i A_i' \right) \right) \right)$$

By Lemma 2 we have

$$\boldsymbol{s}(FF') = \left\{ \boldsymbol{I}_{k}(PP') \boldsymbol{I}_{l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \left(\left(A_{i}A_{i}' \right) \otimes \left(A_{i}A_{i}' \right) \right) \right) \right\}$$
$$k = 1, \dots, n, \ l = 1, \dots, n^{2} \right\}.$$
(6)

Applying (4) gives

$$I_{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\left(A_{i}A_{i}^{'}\right)\otimes\left(A_{i}A_{i}^{'}\right)\right)\right)\leq\sum_{i=1}^{s}I_{1}\left(\left(A_{i}A_{i}^{'}\right)\otimes\left(A_{i}A_{i}^{'}\right)\right).$$
 (7)

Using again Lemma 2 we get

$$\boldsymbol{I}_{1}\left(\left(A_{i}A_{i}'\right)\otimes\left(A_{i}A_{i}'\right)\right)=\boldsymbol{I}_{1}^{2}\left(A_{i}A_{i}'\right).$$
(8)

Combining (6), (7) and (8) we can bound $I_1(FF)$ as follows

$$\boldsymbol{I}_{1}(FF') \leq \boldsymbol{I}_{1}(PP') \sum_{i=1}^{s} \boldsymbol{I}_{1}^{2} (A_{i}A_{i}') \cdot$$
(9)

Now from (5) and (9) we obtain $\max\{|\boldsymbol{l}|: \boldsymbol{l} \in \boldsymbol{s}(F)\} \leq \sqrt{\boldsymbol{I}_{1}(FF')} \leq \sqrt{\boldsymbol{I}_{1}(PP')\sum_{i=1}^{s} \boldsymbol{I}_{1}^{2}(A_{i}A_{i}')}$

and the proof follows from Theorem 1.

3. Numerical example

Consider system (1) with:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.5 \\ 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.4 \\ 0.1 & 0.5 & 0.4 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{a} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{b} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and suppose that $a \ge b > 0$. We are interested in values a, and b for which system is mean square stable. The use of Theorem 1 requires analysis of eigenvalues of 12 by 12 matrix. This approach is very involved. To apply Theorem 2 we calculate

$$I_1(PP') \approx 1.0833, I_1(A_1A_1') = a^2,$$

 $I_1(A_2A_2') = a^2, I_1(A_3A_3') = b^2.$

According to (3) we know that the system is stable if

$$a^2 + 2b^2 < 0.9188.$$

To obtain this result we had only to calculate eigenvalues of matrices 2 by 2 (3 times) and 3 by 3 (once).

4. Continuous time case

In this section we very briefly discuss the continuous time systems of the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(r(t))x(t), \qquad (10)$$

where r(t) is a Markov process taking values in a finite set $S = \{1, 2, ..., s\}$, with infinitesimal generator $Q = [q_{ij}]_{i,j\in S}$, and initial distribution $\boldsymbol{p} = [p_j]_{i\in S}, p_j = \Pr(r(0) = j). \quad A(j), j \in S$

are matrices of size $n \times n$. Solution of (1) with initial condition $x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $x(k, x_0, \mathbf{p})$. This system is called stable if for all initial conditions $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all initial distributions \mathbf{p} , we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} E \left\| x(t,x_0,\boldsymbol{p}) \right\|^2 = 0.$$

Condition for mean square stability has been given in [8]. It is presented below.

<u>Theorem 3</u> [8] System (10) is mean square stable if and only if

$$\max\left\{\operatorname{Re}\boldsymbol{l}:\boldsymbol{l}\in\boldsymbol{s}(F_{c})\right\}<0\,,\qquad(11)$$

where

$$F_{c} = (P' \otimes I_{n^{2}}) + diag [I_{n} \otimes A_{i} + A_{i} \otimes I_{n}]_{i=1,\dots,s}$$

Using a very similar technique as in the proof of Theorem 2 we may show that (11) holds if

$$\max_{i=1} \sum_{s} 2I_{1}(A_{i} + A_{i}') + I_{1}(Q + Q') < 0$$
(12)

or if

$$\max \operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{I}_i(G) < 0, \tag{13}$$

where

$$G = 2diag[\boldsymbol{a}_i]_{i=1,\dots,s} + Q + Q'$$

and

 $\boldsymbol{a}_{i} = \max \Big\{ \operatorname{Re} (\boldsymbol{I}_{1} + \boldsymbol{I}_{2}) : \boldsymbol{I}_{1} \in \boldsymbol{S}(A_{k}), \boldsymbol{I}_{2} \in \boldsymbol{S}(A_{l}), k, l \in S \Big\}.$

According to Theorem 3 conditions (12) and (13) are sufficient conditions for mean square stability of (10). The advantages of them over (11) are the same as in the discrete time case.

5. Conclusions

In this paper new sufficient condition for mean square stability of discrete and continuous time jump linear system are presented. These conditions are less numerically involved than the known necessary and sufficient conditions.

References:

[1] Y. Ji, H. J. Chizeck, X. Feng and K. A. Loparo, Stability and control of discrete-time jump linear systems, Control Theory and Advanced Technology, vol. 7, pp.247-270, 1991.

[2] M. D. Costa and M. D. Fragoso, Stability results for discrete-time linear systems with Markovian jumping parameters, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 179, no. 1, pp. 154-178, 1993.

[3] Y. Fang, K. A. Loparo, X. Feng, Almost sure and **d**-moment stability of jump linear systems, International Journal of Control, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 1281-1307, 1994.

[4] K. Benjelloun, E. K. Boukas and P. Shi, Robust stochastic stability of discrete-time linear systems with Markovian jumping parameters, Proceedings of the 1997 American Control Conference, American Autom. Control Council. Part vol.1, 1997, pp.866-7 vol.1. Evanston, IL, USA.

[5] Z. G. Li, Y. C. Soh, and C. Y. Wen, Sufficient conditions for almost sure stability of jump linear systems, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 1325-1329, 2000.

[6] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, Inequalities: Theory of majorization and its applications, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

[7] P. Lancaster and L. Rodman, Algebraic Riccati equation, Oxford Univ. Press, 1995.

[8] M. Mariton, Jump linear systems in automatic control, New York and Besel, 1990.

[9] A. Swierniak, K. Simek, E. K. Boukas, Intelligent Robust Control of Fault Tolerant Systems, in Artificial Intelligence in Real-Time Control edited by H. E. Rouch, Pergamon Press, pp. 245-249, 1997.

[10] D. D. Sworder and R. O. Rogers, An LQsolution to a control problem associated with solar thermal cebtral receiver, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 28, 971-978, 1983.

[11] E. K. Boukas, A. Haurie, Manufacturing Flow Control and Preventive Maintenance: A Stochastic Control Approach, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 35, no. 9, 1024-1031, 1990.