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#### Abstract

The hierarchical network proposed (Multi-RBFNN), is composed of complete Radial Basis Function Neural Networks (RBFNNS) that are in charge of a reduced set of input variables with the property of which every Sub-RBFNN can take charge of a set of input variables and not of all. For the optimization of the whole net, we propose a new method to select the more important input variables, which is capable of deciding which of the chosen variables go alone or together to a Sub-RBFNN to build the hierarchic structure Multi-RBFNN, thus reducing the dimension of the input variable space for each RBFNN. We also provide an algorithm which automatically finds the most suitable topology of the proposed hierarchical structure and selects the more important input variables for it.
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## 1. Introduction

RBFNNs is universal approximations, it corresponds to a particular class of function approximation that can be trained using a set of samples of I/O. On the other hand, when the structure of a RBFNN is complex (not hierarchical), a direct consequence is the production of a big network, with a big number of hidden units that it produce a big quantity of computational parameters and this impedes the convergence of the learning process and increases the requirements of memory and time. An effective solution is to incorporate a hierarchical structure adapted to solve problems of complex models. The ideas of modulating neural networks are essential for hierarchical designs [1][2][3][4]. In problems of function approximation, when increases the number of input variables, the number of parameters increases exponentially. A direct consequence is to have a huge network, with big number of neurons in the hidden layer that hinders the convergence of the process of training of the network. The hierarchical structure of radial basis function networks proposed (Multi-RBFNN) divides at first the problem of the function approximation in smaller
problems based on the more important input variables that have been selected and which of these selected variables will be go alone or together in a Sub-RBFNN. The final structure is a hierarchical series of RBFNNs connected in parallel with an total output that is the linear sum of all the outputs of Sub-RBFNNs. This topology facilitates to solve problems that can be combined to gain access to a complex solution. Once the number of Sub-RBFNNs is known, the hierarchical structure MultiRBFNN is constructed. In every SubRBFNN, which presents a radial basis function network (RBFNN), they optimized the parameters of the RBFNN (centres, radios, weight); using an efficient algorithm of clustering to initialize the value of the centres in every Sub-RBFNN. To optimize the values of the radius in every SubRBFNN, we used traditional algorithms. When the parameters of centres and radius of every Sub-RBFNN have been initialized, a method of linear calculation is used to find the exact values of the weight in the whole hierarchical system that minimizes the cost function calculated on the set of samples of I/O data.

## 2. The Architecture Of The Multi-RBFNN System

In RBFNNs every neuron in the hidden layer receives all the input variables of the network. Nevertheless, the interconnections in the hierarchical structure Multi-RBFNN between input variables and the hidden layer are limited and located. The advantage of the hierarchical structure Multi-RBFNN consists of the fact that the problem divides into many problems that connected in parallel. Every problem presents a RBFNN named Sub-RBFNN. All the Sub-RBFNN has a total output that is the output of the hierarchical structure Multi-RBFNN. This division of the system Multi-RBFNN limits the quantity of the information of the previous layer. In general, to construct a hierarchic structure Multi-RBFNN to solve problems of function approximation consists of two basic steps:

- The identification of the structure (input variables selection, distribution of the selected input variables to the number Sub-RBFNNs, the number of Sub-RBFNNs depends on the number of the selected input variables and on which of these variables go alone or together in a Sub-RBFNN).
- The estimation of the parameters of every Sub-RBFNN (centres $\vec{c}^{S}$, radius $r^{s}$ and weight $w^{S}$, and the number of radial functions RBF in each SubRBFNN), and the calculation of the total output $f(x)$ of the hierarchical structure Multi-RBFNN.

Fig. 1 presents the principal steps of the proposed algorithm. Fig. 2 presents the proposed hierarchical Multi-RBFNN system. Each one of the nodes of Fig. 2 is a Radial Basis Functions Network (see Fig. 3). RBFNNs can be seen as a particular class of Artificial Neural Networks ANNs. They are characterized by a transfer function in the hidden unit layer having radial symmetry with respect to a centre. The basic architecture of an RBFNN is a 3layer network. The output of the net is
given by the following expression: The output of the net is given by the following expression:
$F(\vec{x}, \Phi, w)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_{i}(\vec{x}) \cdot w_{i}$
where $\Phi=\left\{\phi_{i}: i=1, \ldots, m\right\}$ are the basis functions set and $w_{i}$ the associate weights for
every RBF. The basis function $\phi$ can be calculated as a gaussian function using the following expression:

$$
\phi(\vec{x}, \vec{c}, r)=\exp \left(\frac{\|\vec{x}-\vec{c}\|}{r}\right)(2)
$$

where $\vec{c}$ the central point of the function $\phi$ and $r$ the radius.


Fig. 1. Principal steps of the proposed algorithm


Fig. 2. The hierarchical structure Multi-RBFNN.


Fig. 3. Each of the sub-networks is a RBFNN

The calculation of the weight does not depend on every output of every SubRBFNN $\left\{F_{l}(x), \ldots, F_{S}(x)\right\}$, but it depends on the total output of the system MultiRBFNN, and must be calculated in the linear form like in the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\vec{x}, \Phi, w)=\sum_{s=1}^{S} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{s}} \phi_{i}^{s}(\vec{x}) \cdot w_{i}^{s} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\Phi_{i}^{s}=\left\{\phi_{i}^{s}: i=1, \ldots, n, S=1, \ldots, s\right\}$ is the of activation matrix of the set of basis functions in all Sub-RBFNNs, $w_{i}^{s}$ the associate weight of all Sub-RBFNN and $S$ is the number of Sub-RBFNN. The process of the linear optimization of the weight depends to the activation matrix of the total output of the Multi-RBFNN $f(x)$. This process uses the direct methods as the singular value decomposition (SVD) to calculate the values of the weight $w_{i}^{s}$.

The proposed hierarchical structure MultiRBFNN decreases the number of parameters which decrease the complexity of the approximate system and increases the efficiency of the process of function approximation from a set of examples of I/O data data.

## 3. Input Variables Selection For The Structure Multi-RBFNN

The input variables selection (IVS) tries to reduce the dimension of variables of input space and create a new set of input variables. This process of identification and elimination of so much irrelevant and
redundant information as they are possible, reduces the dimensionality of the date set and allows algorithms of learning works more rapid and effectively.

The curse of the dimensionality [5] refers to the exponential approximation of the hypervolume as a function of dimensionality. RBFNN can be planned as interrelations of input space to output space, it have to cover or represent each part of his input space in order to know how that part of the input space should be mapped. Covering the input space takes resources, and in the most general case, the amount of resources needed is proportional to the hyper-volume of the input space. The exact formulation of resources and part of the input space depends on the type of the network and should probably be based on the concepts of information theory and differential geometry [6].The curse of the dimensionality cause networks with many irrelevant inputs that behave relatively badly, when the dimension of the input space is high the network uses almost all his resources to represent irrelevant parts of the input space. Even if we have a network algorithm which is able to focus on important portions of the input space, the higher the dimensionality of the input space, the more data may be needed to find what is important and what is not. A priori information can help with the curse of dimensionality. Input variable selection fundamentally affects the severity of the problem, as well as the selection of the neural network model. [7].

In this section we propose a new method for input variables selection for the problem of function approximation, and more specifically for our Multi-RBFNN system. This method considers a simple calculation to select the input variables. The selection of the input variables will do by the following steps:

1) Relate each possible input dimension of data $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$ with the dependent variable $y$ (as a function in one dimension), as in the following expression:
$\left\{\left(x_{1}, y\right),\left(x_{2}, y\right),\left(x_{3}, y\right), \ldots,\left(x_{\mathrm{d}}, y\right)\right\}$
2) Divide the date in each dimension in $P$ parts (the number of the parts depends on the number of the input data $n$, y when the number of the input data is big, and the number of parts $P$ must increase). This division is obtained by means of the following expression:
$\left\{P_{i}^{j-1} \leq\left(\vec{x}^{k}\right)_{i}<P_{i}^{j}\right\} \quad k=1, \ldots, n ; i=1, \ldots, d ; j=1, \ldots, p$ where $n$ is the number of data of I/O, $\left(\vec{x}^{k}\right)_{i}$ it is the component $i_{t h}$ of the input vector $k_{t h}$.
3) Associate the data of each dimension to corresponding output data as in the following expression:

$$
\left\{\left(\vec{x}^{k}\right)_{i}, y^{k}\right\} / P_{i}^{j-1} \leq\left(\vec{x}^{k}\right)_{i}<P_{i}^{j}
$$

4) Use the Kalman filter to smooth the vectors of the maximum and minimums in each part, and calculate the distance $D_{i}^{j}$ between the maximum and the minimum values of the output in each partition of the input variable $x_{i}$ :

$$
D_{i}^{j}=\max \left(y_{j}^{k}\right)-\min \left(y_{j}^{k}\right) j=1, \ldots . p
$$

5) Finally, for each input variable $x_{i}$ we calculate the mean of distances $\bar{D}_{i}$. The smaller $\bar{D}_{i}$, is the most important input variable for the problem, since this implies that the other variables affect very little to the output variable for every fixed value (partition interval) of $x_{i}$. Fig. 4 presents, in a schematic way, the general description of the proposed IVS method. For all the parts the average of the distance is calculated $\bar{D}$.

## 4. SIMULATION EXAMPLES

In this section different examples will be appear to verify the procedure in the proposed algorithm. Two types of results will be present: the structure of the system Multi-RBFNN selected by the algorithm using the method of IVS and which of the input variables must go alone or together a Sub-RBFNN in the system Multi-RBFNN, and the results of the validity of algorithm in approximate functions from samples of information of I/O data, compared with results of a typical RBFNN that receives all the variables of the function and with other
methods proposed in the bibliography. This way, the system Multi-RBFNN will be evaluated with his characteristics in decreases the number of parameters, which obtains the principal objective in the present work of the search of new architectures of calculation capable of shaping complex systems of function approximation, without the increase of the number of input variables has to suppose an exponential increase in the complexity of the system.


Fig. 4. General description of the method IVS.
The presents results of 5 executions; the set of radial functions used in the Sub-RBFNN \{RBF\} that there is considering the algorithm (every time there is added 1 RBF), \# Param is the number of parameters. $\mathbf{N R M S E}_{\text {Tr }}$ is the normalized mean squared error of training and $\mathbf{N R M S E}_{\text {Test }}$ is the normalized mean squared error of test.

### 4.1. $\quad$ First Example $f_{1}(\mathbf{x})$

We will take an example with 6 possible input variables to choose from. Let us consider a set of 20000 I/O data pairs randomly taken from the function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x)=10 \operatorname{sen}\left(\pi x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)+20\left(x_{3}-0.5\right)^{2}+10 x_{4}+5 x_{5}+0 x_{6} \\
& x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6} \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

where each input variable is defined in the interval [0,1]. The proposed algorithm selects the ideal architecture of the system Multi-RBFNN for the function $f_{l}(x)$,
depends to the value of the variance threshold after analyzing every variables (Fig. 5).


Fig. 5. The variance for each variable in $f_{1}(x)$
In the function $f_{l}(x)$ few variables must go alone to Sub-RBFNN and the subset of the rest goes to Sub-RBFNN, as in the Fig. 6.

(a)

(b)

Fig. 6. (a) Structure Multi-RBFNN selected by the algorithm. (b) Structure of a classic RBFNN for the current function

(a)
(b)

Fig. 7. Comparison result between MultiRBFNN system and Classical RBFNN. (a) In the number of parameters. (b) In the number of $R B F$.

| Multi-RBFNX algorithm |  |  |  |  |  | Classical RBFNX |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ RBF \} | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \# \\ \text { Param } \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{NRMSE}_{\text {Tr }}$ | Std | $\mathrm{NRMSE}_{\text {Tem }}$ | Std | RBF | \# Param | $\mathrm{VRMSE}_{\text {If }}$ | $\mathrm{NRMSE}_{\text {Tes }}$ |
| [2111) | 17 | 0.212 | 2E-3 | 0.214 | 1E-4 | 2 | 16 | 0.428 | 0.437 |
| (1211) | 16 | 0.246 | 6E-3 | 0.252 | 4E-4 | 3 | 24 | 0.331 | 0.328 |
| (1121) | 16 | 0.238 | 1E-2 | 0.243 | 5E-3 | 4 | 32 | 0.301 | 0.305 |
| (1112) | 16 | 0.241 | 1E-2 | 0.246 | 6E-4 | 5 | 40 | 0.316 | 0.316 |
| [3111) | 24 | 0.198 | 2E-1 | 0.204 | 1E-4 | 6 | 48 | 0.279 | 0.278 |
| [2211] | 18 | 0.221 | 9E-3 | 0.225 | 1E-2 | 7 | 56 | 0.213 | 0.214 |
| [2121] | 18 | 0.209 | 2E-3 | 0.216 | 6E-3 | 8 | 64 | 0.284 | 0.284 |
| [2112\} | 18 | 0.212 | 1E-3 | 0.216 | 1E-4 | 9 | 72 | 0.249 | 0.252 |
| [4111] | 33 | 0.183 | 1E-2 | 0.189 | 8E-3 | 10 | 80 | 0.231 | 0.237 |
| [3211\} | 25 | 0.146 | 8E-2 | 0.147 | 3E-2 | 11 | 88 | 0.211 | 0.219 |
| [3121] | 25 | 0.075 | SE-3 | 0.084 | 3E-3 | 12 | 96 | 0.206 | 0.212 |
| [3112] | 25 | 0.080 | 3E-3 | 0.088 | 2E-3 | 13 | 104 | 0.179 | 0.190 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 112 | 0.153 | 0.173 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 120 | 0.144 | 0.154 |

Table I NRMSE of training and test obtained by the proposed algorithm and by classic RBFNN for the function $f_{l}(x)$

### 4.2 Second Example $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{x})$

The training and test sets have been formed by 25000 randomly points.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{2}(x)=2 \cos \left(2 \pi x_{1} \cdot x_{8}\right)+6 e^{\left(-2 x_{2} \cdot x_{2}\right)}+7.5\left(x_{4} \cdot x_{5} \cdot x_{6}\right)+0 x_{7} \\
& , x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{7}, x_{8} \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

The algorithm selects the ideal architecture of the system Multi-RBFNN, depends to the value of the variance threshold after analyzing every variables (Fig. 8).

(b)

Fig. 8. The variance in different data number. (a) For each variable. (b) For each subset of two variables

In the function $f_{2}(x)$ tow subset of tow variables go to Sub-RBFNN and the subset three variables go to Sub-RBFNN, as in the Fig. 9.

| Multi-RBFNN algorithm |  |  |  |  |  | Classical RBFNN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \{ RBF \} | \# Param | $\mathrm{NRMSE}_{\text {T }}$ | Std | $\mathrm{NRMSE}_{\text {Tea }}$ | Std | RBF | \# Param | $\mathrm{NRMSE}_{\text {T }}$ | $\mathrm{NRMSE}_{\text {Tes }}$ |
| \{211\} | 17 | 0.422 | 1E-3 | 0.426 | 3E-3 | 2 | 20 | 0.459 | 0.473 |
| \{121\} | 17 | 0.451 | 1E-2 | 0.457 | 1E-2 | 3 | 30 | 0.433 | 0.450 |
| \{112\} | 18 | 0.498 | 6E-3 | 0.503 | 9E-3 | 4 | 40 | 0.382 | 0.393 |
| \{311\} | 21 | 0.419 | 2E-3 | 0.424 | 6E-4 | 5 | 50 | 0.397 | 0.416 |
| \{221\} | 21 | 0.260 | 4E-3 | 0.268 | 7E-3 | 6 | 60 | 0.380 | 0.402 |
| \{212\} | 22 | 0.423 | 6E-4 | 0.427 | 2E-3 | 7 | 70 | 0.339 | 0.354 |
| \{321\} | 25 | 0.269 | 4E-3 | 0.277 | 7E-3 | 8 | 80 | 0.349 | 0.372 |
| \{231\} | 25 | 0.261 | 6E-3 | 0.269 | 4E-2 | 9 | 90 | 0.344 | 0.366 |
| \{222\} | 26 | 0.307 | 3E-2 | 0.314 | $3 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 10 | 100 | 0.316 | 0.341 |
| \{331\} | 29 | 0.247 | 6E-2 | 0.254 | 6E-2 | 11 | 110 | 0.328 | 0.351 |
| \{241\} | 29 | 0.264 | 7E-3 | 0.273 | 5E-2 | 12 | 120 | 0.259 | 0.284 |
| \{232\} | 30 | 0.260 | 5E-2 | 0.269 | 4E-3 | 13 | 130 | 0.250 | 0.262 |
| \{332\} | 32 | 0.225 | 8E-2 | 0.233 | 8E-3 | 14 | 140 | 0.241 | 0.249 |
| \{2 42\} | 34 | 0.261 | 3E-2 | 0.269 | 3E-2 | 15 | 150 | 0.234 | 0.241 |
| \{233\} | 35 | 0.233 | 5E-2 | 0.241 | 5E-2 | 16 | 160 | 0.216 | 0.231 |
| \{333\} | 39 | 0.221 | 8E-2 | 0.229 | 8E-2 | 17 | 170 | 0.208 | 0.215 |
| \{243\} | 39 | 0.207 | 8E-2 | 0.215 | 8E-2 | 18 | 180 | 0.189 | 0.190 |
| \{234\} | 40 | 0.214 | 8E-2 | 0.222 | 6E-2 | 19 | 190 | 0.177 | 0.185 |

Table III NRMSE of training and test obtained by the proposed algorithm and by classic RBFNN for the function $f_{2}(x)$


Fig. 9. (a) Structure Multi-RBFNN selected by the algorithm. (b) Structure of a classic RBFNN for the current function

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

A fundamental limitation in the problem of approximation systems is that when the number of input variables increases, the number of parameters usually increases in a very rapid way, even exponentially. This phenomenon prevents the use of the majority of conventional modelling techniques and forces us to look for more specific solutions. To deal with this problem, we have searched for new architectures for modelling complex systems in function approximation problems. The new hierarchical network proposed is composed of complete Radial Basis Function Networks that are in charge of a reduced set of input variables. For this architecture, we have proposed a new method to select the more important input variables, thus reducing the dimension of
the input variable space for each RBFNN. The selection of the hierarchical structure Multi-RBFNN adapted according to the selected number of input variables and which of these variables go alone or together in a Sub-RBFNN.
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