Learning by Experience:
Using case study research towards the definition of a postindustrial redevelopment approach
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Abstract: Landscape is constantly changing as a result of complex and interacting natural processes coupled with planned and unplanned actions by man. Driving the sustainable urban development agenda is a shared concern for the future of the planet. However, while the need to change is generally accepted, sustainability is hard to define and still more difficult to apply. In a period when environmental situation, despite all the well-being indications, is truly terrifying, the increasing urbanization coupled with global issues of climate change, lack of water, environmental degradation, economic restructuring and social segregation, demands a deeper look at spatial planning, one of the most important tools in the management of urban growth and of the future of our cities. In this scenario, it becomes truly important to define the causes of this unmeasured growth, and the potential of emerging land use planning tools to stop it.

As it has been widely discussed the effects of the globalization of industry over the past decades has had a profound effect on traditional industrial areas all over the world, producing a vast array of obsolete industrial facilities and the various impacts which are generated from them. In this regard, the recognition that the reutilization of derelict landscapes, within urban settlements of all sizes, constitutes a proactive strategy to struggle both the continuous urban growth and the loss of public and private open space, promoting the development of more human, safe, attractive and competitive cities constitutes an important step towards landscape sustainability. This paper presents a possible methodology towards the redevelopment of postindustrial landscapes based in the case study research method. The proposed approach and the way it is applied in this paper may be empirically described as the research and analysis of successful postindustrial landscape redevelopment design approaches, in order to build a set of design principles that might inform and serve as a basis to the redevelopment of similar landscapes.
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1 Introduction

Landscape is continuously changing [15, 22] as a result of complex and interacting natural processes coupled with planned and unplanned actions by man. This inherent characteristic of landscape may be noted on the Germanic definition of word landschaft that “connotes landscape as a changing system of social and ecological interrelations” [8], relating people and place [26]. However, this ongoing “transformation of landscapes worldwide (...) has raised global concerns” [20], as it is the need to rethink landscape and protect the environment. This is especially true for previously developed areas that are now abandoned or underused. Instead of consuming green lands, the brown lands need to be redeveloped and given new life, achieving a more sustainable urban setting [21, 9, 23].

The relevance and popularity of these land transformation approaches are increasingly recognized, and as referred by Reed (2005, p.15) “nearly every significant new landscape designed in recent years occupies a site that has been reinvented and reclaimed from obsolescence or degradation, as cities in postindustrial era remake and redefine their outdoor spaces” [24] (figures 1 to 4). Consequently questions such as: What should be done with these landscapes? Which functions might these areas acquire in the future? What makes these spaces underutilized? What obstacles keep these landscapes from being transformed? Who is responsible for transforming them? Who is best qualified to do it? Is this process a single profession endeavor? Among others, remain to be answered.

For this reason, new methodologies and frameworks are needed. In a period when “(...) that
seemingly old-fashioned term landscape has curiously come back to vogue” [7], it is urgent to reinvent the way in which these postindustrial landscapes are transformed, considering not only environmental issues but also historic and cultural values, economic opportunities, and social needs. The origin of this growing concern may be traced from a period when industry, became one of the main protagonists in the transformation of the city [25].

Communities, cities and regions must accommodate economic development and population growth while sustaining and enhancing the spirit of the community, the sense of place, and the physical environment – the answer to this question is far from being simple, but the reuse of post-industrial sites, in order to develop multifunctional landscapes, instead of consuming new ones is seen as a great possibility to help achieving those goals [18].

The fact that these urban areas became economically and environmentally degraded and socially distressed through industrial contamination [10] make clear that redevelopment projects should enable the redefinition of these landscapes through community-based, interdisciplinary action that integrates multifunctional longer-term solutions based on social, economic and ecological objectives.

2 Materials and Methods
In fact “it has long been realized that urban planning and open space preservation are part of the same process” [5], “and that the most effective way to protect open space is by effectively containing and managing urban growth” [5]. In this regard, land transformation policies, strategies and...
methodologies have been considered an important tool for urban containment, fostering urban redevelopment and revitalization [1, 27, 28].

However, these contributions and the principles they integrate, have not been adequately assessed regarding postindustrial land transformation efforts. Still, this approach may be considered a proficient approach to address urban sprawl, increasingly viewed as significant and growing land-use problem that encompass a wide range of social, economic and environmental issues [5, 6, 16].

Throughout the last decades several researchers and academics have been committed with the development of strategies that enable the creation of better landscape transformation projects, which are normally excessively subjective and dependent on the designer’s determination, fact that is considered to be a limitation. For this reason new frameworks and methodologies are required.

However, these methodologies require the use of several methods throughout the research, including quantitative and qualitative strategies, which at their best are not linear methods but circle back upon issues, principles, and information, as many times as necessary [29].

In this regard, considering the approach according to which, learning by experience is considered a proficient tool for urban redevelopment the proposed methodology (figure 5) was divided in two main sections: case study research (developed projects – learning by experience) and practical case studies (site specific characteristics and uniqueness of the place).

As is perceptible case study research and the analysis of best-practice examples of postindustrial redevelopment constitute the basis of the proposed framework.

Regarding this type of analysis, Yin (1994) presented five research strategies (although acknowledging the existence of several others) on his book “Case Study Research – Design and Methods”: experiment; survey; archival analysis; history and case study [30].

Nonetheless, the Case Study Research method is considered by several authors a very important research strategy [2, 13, 19, 30], which allows not only the analysis and comparison among similar case studies, but also the development of a systemic analysis, where the subjective component is much smaller.

These intrinsic characteristics enable the use of this method in various fields of knowledge as it is the case of medical research, sociology, engineering, planning, architecture and landscape architecture [11]. Furthermore, according to Francis (1999 and 2001) this method is a very useful tool to study and analyse existing projects and the way in which certain problems and design constrains were solved and which strategies should be followed or avoided [11, 12].

The use of this method will enable the creation of a set of postindustrial landscape redevelopment planning and design principles that may inform the creation of a specific theoretical basis to increase the quality of similar redevelopment proposals [i.e. researching and analysing the practical principles applied in successful post-industrial landscape reclamation projects in order to create a set of principles that will inform the creation of specific design theory].

Figure 5 – Proposed methodological scheme.
3 Case Study Selection and Analysis

Considering the afore-presented methodology the selection of study areas constitutes an essential component of the research, representing an important aspect to the accomplishment of the present study. In this regard, the selection of “best-practice” case studies (as well as the definition of a practical case study area) should consider a set of predefined parameters.

Regarding the selection of “best practice” case studies, the process is anchored in the collection and analysis of as much postindustrial land transformation projects as was possible within the boundaries set by schedule and economic constraints.

In this sense, throughout the investigation as many postindustrial land transformation case studies as possible should be identified. After identifying the project it is necessary first to address the availability of data, and second to verify the possibility to access, collect and use that data. If the necessary information is available, and the case study considered relevant for the research, considering the characteristics of the practical study area, the project will be addressed.

If the number and magnitude of the addressed case studies is significantly high, in order to keep the review manageable, analysis should be limited in several ways. First, the research should focus not only on postindustrial land transformation projects which present higher similarity with the practical study area, but also that considered the pre-design goals | objectives, established throughout the study area, but also that considered the pre-design aspects should be investigated and analyzed: location; design team; project typology and use; size; brief description of the project background | history; significance and uniqueness of the project; goals; program and design strategy; lessons learned and project limitations.

After the analysis of each of the selected case studies, following the aforementioned protocol the planning and design principles used in the redevelopment proposal will be heuristically defined, using an approach similar to the one proposed by Lang (1994) in order to identify normative theories in urban planning [17]. After identification each planning and design principle will be described according to the ideas and definitions expressed in the literature. Whenever possible, this definition should be gauged with the design team of the project in which the principle was identified, in order to grant a more precise and objective information regarding the definition and the goals of each principle.

4 Final Remarks

It is increasingly recognized that there is a general lack of ‘vision’ in today’s communities, townscapes, and green spaces as a whole. With that being said, the redevelopment of postindustrial landscapes must
be approached with the necessities of the future generations. In conscious opposition to the lack of community visioning that is recognized; the envisioned framework is considered to enable the development of solutions that seek to satisfy a time, which is not so far off, where postindustrial land transformation will become a necessity in the urban landscape.

Still, even if it is acknowledged that the redevelopment of underused areas might constitute a feasible way of dealing with the progressive urbanization, there is still a question mark hanging over what kind of redevelopment these spaces can look forward to. As argued by several authors [9, 21, 23], land transformation projects should redefine the postindustrial landscape through community-based, interdisciplinary action that integrates multifunctionality, industrial heritage and public participation on the longer-term solutions based on socio-cultural, economic and environmental and aesthetic objectives.

In this regard, land use planning methods should identify the right balance and timing between pro-active policies and re-active regeneration projects, and between legislative, administrative and educational policy engines, engaging citizens, authorities and markets in a process of reinvention of urban living. In these circumstances postindustrial landscapes if integrated properly in the planning process, may become a driving force for urban growth, fostering a positive image that will attract investors as well as tourists.

However, research has shown that, even if, throughout the last decades several researchers and academics have been committed with the development of strategies and frameworks to enable the creation of better landscape transformation projects, redevelopment proposals still tend to be excessively subjective and directly dependent on the designer’s determination and intentions. In this regard the application of the proposed methodological framework is considered to be a proficient tool to decrease design’s subjectivity, creating a common ground in which different fields of knowledge might communicate enhancing the quality of future redevelopment proposals.

Still, even if the proposed methodology will enable the assessment of the environmental, economic and social dimensions of sustainability at the same level, fostering sustainable development, it will just function as a complement of designer expertise, since the application of any of the identified planning and design principle is site specific and dependent on designer creativity.

In conclusion it is believed that the presented approach will reinforce the quality of postindustrial land transformation projects increasing landscape character and promoting the creation of multifunctional resilient landscapes, capable to incorporate change and enhance life’s quality.
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