Using Simulation For Heuristic Scheduling Algorithm Development – Case Of Airport Ground Crew Scheduling
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Abstract. This paper presents the case of scheduling algorithm development by using simulation for the purpose of airport ground crew shift construction at Ljubljana Jože Pučnik Airport. The airport personnel and equipment scheduling problem is complex as there are conflicting demands in assigning personnel and equipment to tasks connected with aircraft arrivals and departures. The scheduling problem is influenced by the organisation of work, which is in turn influenced by the size of airport and amount of traffic. The goal of the project was to develop a solution that would generate workforce shifts and allow rapid rescheduling and thus shorten the airport response time and improve the adaptability in conditions of dynamic flight schedules. We have developed algorithms that perform task schedule optimization, personnel and equipment requirements optimization and shift planning for airport ground crews.
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1 Introduction

Most sectors of the manufacturing and service industries require scheduling of several types of resources in addition to machine tools scheduling, and one of the most important resource types are human resources [1]. International airports are complex systems that require good functioning and coordination of all their parts. Due to a large number of tasks, connected with arrivals and departures of aircrafts, and frequent changes in flight schedules, the use of good workforce and equipment scheduling algorithms integrated into the airport information system is crucial for good performance of an airport as a system. In this manner we can drastically reduce the time needed to produce a ground crew work schedule, improve its quality, reduce the frequency of errors, and are able to quickly reschedule crews in case of changes in the flight schedule.

Burke et al. [2] state that the responsibility for 50% of flight delays can be attributed to carriers, while 19% of delays occur due to problems with airport operations. According to Air Transport Association's data [3], every minute of delay costs approximately 100 USD, including fuel costs, airline and airport personnel, aircraft maintenance and depreciation, passengers' and cargo owners' time, and not including contract penalties for delays and damages paid to passengers. Due to frequent changes in flight schedules, the possibility to dynamically alter and adjust workforce and equipment schedules is therefore very important for airport operations [4]. Manual scheduling is too slow for the conditions that modern international airports operate in, and too prone to errors due to human errors caused by stress and insufficient time for schedule production, making computer supported scheduling a necessity.

In our research work for the Ljubljana Jožef Pučnik Airport we have developed algorithms that can compute the needs for individual types of workers and equipment per each minute within the working day, and produce work shift plans that take into account legal, economic and ergonomic requirement.
The goal of the project was to develop an automated workforce scheduling and shift generation system, which would produce results comparable to manually produced schedules and shift in a fraction of the time needed for manual production, in order to improve ground crew scheduling response time to changes in flight schedules at the airport.

The basis for algorithm operation is heuristics, as the mathematical methods described in examined literature have been found to be inapplicable to the scheduling problem at this airport.

The main tool in the development of the heuristic algorithms was a simulation model that we have developed with the purpose of recording and verification of heuristics derived from the manual scheduling process. The simulation was then used to perfect the heuristic algorithms until they produced acceptable schedules and shifts.

2 The Scheduling Problem
The arrivals and departures of aircrafts require the execution of a set sequence of ground crew tasks. Each task has a planned duration, and can be executed only within a set time frame delimited by the earliest possible task start time of the task and the latest possible end time of the task. The time frame is determined by the physical accessibility of the aircraft and the required task sequence. Air transport delays are very expensive, requiring a strict adherence to the planned duration of tasks. The main scheduling problem is therefore how to guarantee the execution of the required sequence of tasks within the planned duration with the minimum number of workers.

The requirements for different types of staff assigned to individual tasks depend on several criteria. In manual scheduling, the heads of departments also took into account the special requirements of individual airlines, flight destinations, and types of flights (charter, scheduled, transfer). The heuristic algorithm was developed to take into account the following criteria:

- Arrival or departure,
- Flight type,
- Aircraft type,
- Airline,
- Destination.

Different tasks tied to specific aircraft types require varying numbers of workers and have varying durations. Certain tasks can be also postponed in case of a long stay (aircraft departs several hours after arrival), allowing the airport to assign some of the staff to more urgent tasks on other flights.

The process of airport ground crew and equipment scheduling is shown on Figure 1. Several departments and individuals cooperate in the development of a work schedule: the planner, the human resources (HR) department, and the IT department. The HR department provides up to date information on available employees, while the IT department provides the flight schedules.

![Figure 1: Scheduling process at the airport](image-url)
In the process of several meetings we have recorded the rules used by operators preparing schedules and shifts. To verify the accuracy of recorded rules and develop the heuristic algorithms, we have developed a simulation model using Microsoft Excel VBA (Visual Basic for Applications) that was used to visualize the schedules produced by the heuristic algorithms on the basis of flight schedules. Several iterations of algorithms modification and verification were necessary to produce acceptable (i.e. comparable to manually produced) schedules and shifts.

An example of task schedule and workforce needs for individual tasks produced by the simulation model is shown in Figure 2, where we can see that the preparations of a size B aircraft (e.g. Airbus A320) for departure require the execution of 12 distinct tasks, with the peak workforce requirement of 17 workers. For departures, the tasks are timed in hours and minutes to planned departure time. Tasks execution can start up to two hours before planned departure. Thus the tasks in graph in Figure 2 start at 2:00, with 0:00 being the planned departure time.

![Figure 2: Tasks schedule and workforce requirements for departure of a size B aircraft](image)

The tasks at the airport are divided into fixed tasks and operative tasks. Fixed tasks do not depend on the airport traffic, while for operative tasks the required strength of workforce depends on the traffic at the airport.

The tasks are assigned to one of the three service departments:
- Aircraft supply service,
- Passenger service,
- Technical/fire brigade service.

Each of these three service department has specific rules that are taken into account by the heads of department in charge of daily workforce schedule generation. For example, during a working day, some workers can move between different tasks within their service departments, allowing a degree of flexibility and reducing the total required number of workers. Schedules are prepared every 14 days, for the next 14 days. These schedules usually require very few changes during their execution, and the changes affecting a given work day are incorporated into schedules at least one day before. However, there are also changes within a given day due to extraordinary events. Extraordinary events or disruptions can be caused by various factors. Schaefer et al. [6] classify the disruptions as frictional (short term; e.g. waiting for the passengers, minor faults, local weather) and serious...
disruptions (long term; serious fault, major storm systems). The response to extraordinary events needs to be fast, and heads of departments at the airport are normally successful in implementing dynamic schedule alterations.

Until the completion of this project, the heads of departments at the airport performed the scheduling manually, using heuristics developed through experience, without formalized algorithms and without automation. They obtained flight scheduled information from the information system and used it to manually construct a work schedule. IT support was limited to office tools such as spreadsheets and did not include specialized scheduling tools. The input into schedule construction included requirements derived from flight information and informal and formal competences of the available staff.

2.1 Preparation of data for the scheduling algorithm

The data required for scheduling are obtained from two sources: the information system (flight information and HR data) and the expert knowledge of the planners (heads of service departments).

The fundamental data used for the scheduling algorithm is the flight data. This data is obtained the Flight Information System (FIS), which contains the data on scheduled arrivals and departures of aircraft. FIS is the basic information system of the airport and is linked with information systems of other airports. Flights can be either arrivals or departures. The most important attribute in FIS data is flight number. Using the flight number we can determine how long the airplane will stay on the ground. This time is important for the scheduling of variable tasks, i.e. the tasks that have a movable execution window.

Apart from the flight type, the scheduling algorithm also uses the data on traffic type, aircraft type, and airline. These data are also obtained from the FIS. All of these data are used as criteria that influence the list of tasks and workforce and equipment requirements per task. The criteria are used in the algorithm sequentially and have different priorities: some criteria can override other criteria. The sequence and priorities of criteria is predefined for all service departments and can be changed by the operator in charge of the scheduling application.

Mason and Ryan [13] have used a simulation to determine the minimum workforce requirements, while in the first phase of our project the heads of departments have defined workforce strength requirements, start of task and end of task for each of the listed criteria, with separate specifications for arrivals and for departures.

These specifications were used to develop an algorithm prototype using Microsoft Excel and Visual Basic for Applications. The prototype used FIS data to produce workforce requirements per each minute within a given time span, determined by the time span of flight schedule data. The time span ranged from several days to several weeks. The workforce requirements generated by the prototype were excessive, as the required number of workers according to the algorithm exceeded the actual number of workers, as defined by manual schedules, during traffic peaks several times per each working day. This has led to development of additional heuristic rules by the developer staff and the heads of service departments at the airport, which took into account that a worker can move from task to task in very short time periods, and changes in workforce requirements that allowed partial assignment of workers to tasks, allowing workers to be assigned to several tasks within a time frame.

3 Result: Algorithm For Workforce Requirements And Shift Generation

The developed algorithm has two distinct phases. The first phase determines workforce needs for variable tasks, and the second phase generates shifts, based on workforce needs and HR data. Both phases allow for manual correction of results. The shift generation algorithm was developed using the data model of a general staff scheduling tool. Fixed tasks have predefined workforce needs, and a separate shift generation algorithm is used to produce shifts for the fixed tasks.

A condition making the generation of workforce needs difficult are the daily traffic peaks i.e. occasional significant increase of the frequency of arrivals and departures that last less than the minimal shift duration, i.e. less than a few hours. During these daily peaks an additional number of workers are theoretically needed, however the requirements are increased only for a very short time, e.g. 10 minutes. The challenge for the workforce requirements and shift optimization is how to smooth these peaks without causing flight delays. Seasonal peaks make no difference to daily scheduling as their duration is longer, and can be thus dealt with by additional shifts, i.e. additional workers.

To smooth the workforce requirement peaks the algorithm needs at least one degree of freedom (the
possibility to change at least one schedule parameter). The available degrees of freedom in the given case are:

- The possibility for transfer of workers between task types within a working day, limited by worker skills (classified as “skill groups”), and
- The possibility to move the execution time of individual tasks within the allowed time frame.

The following sections present the scheduling algorithm for the operative tasks that are more complex from the scheduling aspect.

3.1 First phase of the algorithm

In the first phase the algorithm examines the flight data and generates a list of required tasks per flight. Per each required task, a default workforce requirement and default start and end time is entered into a database table. Then the detailed flight data are examined to tune the exact flight task requirements. We have defined a criterion for each relevant type of flight data has been defined, and these criteria are used by the algorithm to compute the detailed task requirements for each flight. The equipment requirements are derived from workforce needs.

Several criteria types are used in the scheduling. These criteria are divided into absolute criteria, which set new values for individual parts of requirements regardless of the current values of task requirements for a given flight, and relative requirements, which modify (add or subtract) the current values of task requirements for a given flight. The final calculated workforce requirements are rounded up to the whole value (e.g., if a final workforce requirement is 2.1, it is rounded up to 3.0).  

3.2 Second phase of the algorithm

In the second phase of the algorithm the workgroups and shifts are constructed using the task requirements from the first phase, the data on worker categories, available equipment and allowed shift timing (start and end hours). The shifts are constructed per skill group.

3.2.1 Optimizing workforce needs: workgroup generation

A skill group is defined as a set of tasks that can be performed by any worker that belongs to the skill group. This allows two subsequent tasks from a single skill group to be performed by the same individual, reducing the required number of workers within a shift. The degree of freedom is therefore the possibility of transition of workers between different types of tasks within a shift. Each worker is assigned only one skill group, and each shift is also assigned only one skill group.

If several workgroups shares the same skill group, the workers can be moved to move from one workgroup to another. Table 1 shows some of the tasks and skill groups that allow this type of flexibility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Skill group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balancer</td>
<td>Balancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply controller</td>
<td>Supply controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner</td>
<td>Cleaner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecalist</td>
<td>Fecalist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baggage worker</td>
<td>Baggage worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check in</td>
<td>Stewardess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gate</td>
<td>Stewardess</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normally the workgroup sequence is constructed in this way:

- For each flight the algorithm checks if a skill from a skill group is required,
- For each workgroup the algorithm assigns the required equipment,
- Equipment has to be available during the activity of a workgroup,
- If different skills are present within a workgroup, they are merged, allowing transition of workers between different tasks.
3.2.2 Optimizing workforce requirements: variable tasks

Tasks that can be moved on the time axis are called variable tasks. The start time of a variable task is changed by the algorithm if the required equipment is not available, or if the move results in a reduction of the total number of workers required that day. The variable tasks can be moved within a time interval calculated on the basis on arrival and departure data, as shown in Figure 2. The basic limitation is the physical presence of an aircraft, i.e. the time between its arrival and departure. However there are further limitations, which depend on task type and prescribed task sequence:

- ESAA (earliest start after arrival),
- LEAA (latest end after arrival),
- LEBD (latest end before departure) and
- ESBD (earliest start before departure).

In calculating the exact time window for task execution, the stricter limitations, resulting in a shorter time window, are used. Due to varying time between arrival and departure, absolute time (day:hour:minute) has to be used to calculate the time window. The equations (eq. 1-4) used are:

\[
T_{ESBD} = ST_D + ESBD \quad (1)
\]

\[
T_{ESAA} = ST_A + ESAA \quad (2)
\]

\[
T_{LEBD} = ST_D + LEBD \quad (3)
\]

\[
T_{LEAA} = ST_A + LEAA \quad (4)
\]

Where \(ST_D\): time of departure, \(ST_A\): time of arrival.

To determine the exact time window, we compare the times defining the start of time window (\(T_{ESBD}\) and \(T_{ESAA}\)) and the times defining the end of the time window (\(T_{LEBD}\) and \(T_{LEAA}\)), and choose the later of the start times, and the earlier of the end times. Therefore the rules can be described as following:

- IF \(T_{LEBD} > T_{LEAA}\) THEN USE \(T_{LEAA}\), ELSE USE \(T_{LEBD}\)
- IF \(T_{ESBD} > T_{ESAA}\) THEN USE \(T_{ESAA}\), ELSE USE \(T_{ESBD}\)

An example of the time window and relations between the times are shown in Figure 3. Here, the time window where we can place the task is the time interval between \(T_{ESAA}\) and \(T_{LEBD}\).

Another degree of freedom in the optimization of workforce requirements are temporary overloads. These temporary overloads allow us to handle daily traffic peaks without additional shifts and additional workers by temporary assigning more than one task to a worker, and are possible only for certain skills (worker types). An example of such a skill is the Load Balancer, which is in charge of planning the distribution of cargo and fuel on an aircraft. For a short period of time, a Load Balancer can handle several tasks, i.e. several aircrafts at once. Overloads are planned by fragmenting a workgroup into several workgroups (down to single worker), assigning tasks to them, and then finding the workgroup that has the least task load during the overload interval. In case all workgroups have equal task loads during the overload interval, the algorithm looks for the workgroup that is relieved the soonest. Every skill in the database has the maximum overload attribute defined, however most skills have the value set to 1.0, allowing no overload.

3.2.3 Shift construction

After all the workgroups are created, we can link the workgroups into shifts. The algorithm is workgroup oriented – it examines the available workgroups and tries to find the most suitable shift to assign them to. Shifts are constructed separately for each skill group. A shift construction starts by finding an available workgroup that starts first in the work day, and assigning the workgroup to a new shift. The workgroups in the same skill group that follow are
then added to the shift, until the maximum length of shift is reached, or until there are no more available workgroups. The number of workers in a shift is equal to the number of workers in the first workgroup in the shift, and is constant. Therefore only workgroups that have the same or higher number of workers as the first workgroup in a shift are assigned to the shift under construction. As there may be a time gap between workgroups in a shift, breaks are created for every gap.

The algorithm is completed when all workgroups are assigned to shifts. If necessary, workgroups are split into smaller workgroups to fill the shifts. If the algorithm runs out of workgroups to add before the shift length reaches the minimum shift length limit, the shift is split into two parallel shifts, with smaller workgroups (the limit is one worker per shift), and the algorithm tries to find suitable smaller workgroups to extend these shifts. The algorithm adjusts the shift start time to the earliest half hour interval. If e.g. a workgroup starts its work at 6:23, the shift starts at 6:00, and a 23 minute break is created to fill the gap. The construction of shifts also follows the following criteria: The share of breaks in a shift is below a set limit. Preferred shift duration is defined. Preferred shift start and end times are defined. Shifts that respect these preferences are constructed first. The limit for the share of breaks is calculated according to this equation (eq. 5):

$$\text{MaxBreakShare} = \frac{\text{total duration of all workgroups in the shift}}{\text{current shift duration}} * 1$$

If a workgroup can be assigned to more than one shift, the most appropriate shift is found using a weighted criteria function (eq. 6).

$$\text{ShiftSuitability} = (c_1 * w_1) + (c_2 * w_2) + \ldots + (c_n * w_n)$$

Four criteria are defined in the start of the algorithm: time usage efficiency, last break duration, shift duration and task matching. The weights of the criteria can be adjusted by the operator (eq. 7).

$$\text{ShiftSuitability}_h = (c_1 * w_1) + (c_2 * w_2) + (c_3 * w_3) + (c_4 * w_4)$$

where:

- $c_1$ → time usage efficiency
- $c_2$ → last break duration
- $c_3$ → shift duration
- $c_4$ → task matching

The shift with currently (i.e. from the start of working day until the start of the currently considered workgroup) worst time usage efficiency is found using the following equation (eq. 8):

$$c_1 = 1 - \frac{\text{total duration of all workgroups in the shift}}{\text{start of current workgroup - start of first workgroup in the shift}}$$

The shift with the longest last break is found using this equation (eq. 9):

$$c_2 = \frac{\text{start of current workgroup - start of last workgroup in the shift}}{\text{max. break duration}}$$

The shortest shift is found using this equation (eq. 10):

$$c_3 = 1 - \frac{\text{start of current workgroup - start of first workgroup in the shift}}{\text{max. shift duration}}$$

Task matching is verified using the following conditions:

If $\text{Skill(WG(n))} = \text{Skill(WG(n-1))}$ THEN $C_4 = 1$
If $\text{Skill(WG(n))} \neq \text{Skill(WG(n-1))}$ THEN $C_4 = 0$

Where WG(n) is the current workgroup and WG(n-1) is the previous workgroup.

If there are several shifts with the same value of the criteria function available, the shift is selected at random. In order to evaluate and improve the selected criteria and weights, the algorithm records the number of randomly selected shifts.

4 Conclusion

We can conclude from our experience in this project that scheduling problems in the air transport industry are more demanding than traditional machine scheduling problems, as the mathematical scheduling models from previous research could not be utilized, and heuristic algorithms had to be developed instead.

This research has resulted in algorithms for computation of workforce requirements for several types of workers and equipment requirements for every minute in a working day, and for construction of shifts for airport ground crew at the Ljubljana Jože Pučnik Airport. The basis for algorithms is heuristics. The algorithms perform the optimization of task schedule, workforce requirements schedule, and shift schedule at the airport. The output of the algorithms is a shift schedule including specified...
skills of workers in shifts. This serves as an input into a separate HR department application that generates the detailed work schedule, which specifies the names of workers and each shift and the equipment to be used. This application uses the skill information to find the most suitable workers according to their skills, training and personal preferences.

The developed scheduling algorithms are flexible and adaptable, and will be applicable also in case of enlargement of the airport and opening of a new terminal, as these changes will only affect the data that the algorithms use: the criteria, their priorities, and their values.

The optimization parts of the algorithms are limited by the list of tasks that allow the transition of workers between tasks and the criteria defining the workforce requirements per tasks. A more detailed task and skill categorization, and a more detailed differentiation between the requirements of different aircraft types and airlines would allow a more precise workforce requirement and task timing criteria and thus a better optimization of workforce requirements.

The algorithms are based on the heuristics and structures of the departments, specific to Ljubljana Jože Pučnik Airport, however a similar organization of work is used by other airports of similar size and traffic intensity, e.g. the Salzburg airport, Austria. In the implemented software solution the scheduling parameters are entered as data within a relational database, and not as a part of the data model or the algorithms; therefore the solution could be relatively easily adapted to the needs of other airports.
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