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Abstract:
Various examinations are performed to predict the stock values, yet not many points at assessing the predictability of the direction of stock index movement. Stock market prediction with data mining method is a standout amongst the most paramount issues to be researched and it is one of the interesting issues of stock market research over several decades. The approach of advanced data mining tools and refined database innovations has empowered specialists to handle the immense measure of data created by the dynamic stock market. Data mining strategies have been utilized to reveal hidden patterns and predict future patterns and practices in financial markets to help financial investors make qualitative choice. In this paper, the consistency of stock index movement of the well-known Indian Stock Market indices NSE-NIFTY are examined with the assistance of famous data mining strategies known as Clustering. Clustering is the methodology of grouping the alike indices into clusters. It likewise audits three of the meta-heuristics clustering algorithms: PSO-K-Means, Bat Algorithm, and firefly Algorithm. These strategies are implemented and tested against a Brain Tumor gene interpretation Dataset. The performance of the aforementioned procedures is compared based on "integrity of clustering" assessment measures. The investigation is used to the NSE-NIFTY and BSE-NIFTY for the period from January 2011 to April 2014.
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1. Introduction

The rapid development of data accumulations in the science and business applications and in addition they need to examine and concentrate valuable information from this data prompts another era of tools and strategies gathered under the term data mining. Data mining is the important extraction of undeniable, obscure, and possibly significant data from the data and thusly it is climbing as the invaluable information finding procedure is an urgent part in the stock market dissection. As the dynamic stock market leaves a trail of huge measure of data, securing and examining terabytes of data is constantly challenging for the experts. This could be accomplished by clustering approach in data mining. Clustering is one of the paramount tasks in data mining. Clustering is considered as an intriguing methodology for discovering similarities in data and putting comparable data into clusters [7]. Clustering divides a dataset into several clusters such that the likeness inside a cluster is bigger than that among clusters [4]. Stock market development index clustering is the procedure of collection similar index into the same group and is critical for the accomplishment of Stock Market Prediction. The objective of clustering indexes is to anticipate which months give exceptional yield. On the index clustering, comparative index is clustered focused around returns connected with opening and closing value [8]. Clustering algorithms are utilized widely to compose and arrange data, as well as helpful for data layering and model development. By discovering similarities in data, one can illustrate similar data with fewer
images for instance. Additionally, in the event that we can discover clusters of data, we can develop a model of the issue based on those clusters.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews relevant literature. Section 3 explains the methodology employed in this study. Section 4 presents the results and discussion. Section 5 concludes this paper.

2. Literature Survey

Two measurable operations commonly used in stock market predictions are classification and clustering however the most important part is clustering stock market development indexes. Clustering issues emerge in numerous diverse applications, for example, data compression, data mining and knowledge discovery, pattern recognition and pattern classification keeping in mind the end goal to grouping similar indexes in one cluster so that indexes inside the same clusters are like one another and unique in relation to indexes in different clusters. Clustering is the unsupervised classification of samples (perceptions, data things, or peculiarity vectors) into clusters. The clustering issue has been tended to in numerous settings and via scientists in numerous controls; this reflects its wide request and value as one of the steps in exploratory data analysis [6]. The expression "clustering" is utilized within a few examination groups to portray systems for clustering of unlabeled data.

[1] had exhibited a methodology for identifying clusters of similar indexes and connections among them. The authors apply clustering techniques to discover diverse classifications of stock market indexes, introducing three methods for computing returns inside a graph: convergence, Jaccard and a more unpredictable approach that considers extra distributional measures of indexes in a vector space representation [1]. A customized perspective can overcome vagueness and assignment of characteristic index, providing returns indexes, opening and closing value that relate all the more nearly to their expectation. Particularly, we inspect unsupervised clustering routines focused around meta heuristics for concentrating shared traits between indexes, and utilize the discovered clusters, as intermediate between a returns and values with a specific end goal for opening and closing.

Meta heuristics is an alternate rational system for critical thinking utilizing current methodologies. An advancement in Meta heuristic exploration is the examination of hybridization of Meta heuristic methodologies, for example, PSO, BAT and Firefly and so on. This may additionally come about in regularly discovering a fine solution with less computational exertion than any viable techniques. Meta heuristic procedures are developed as practical tools and alternatives to more conventional clustering strategies. Among the numerous meta-heuristics procedures, clustering with PSO methods has discovered accomplishment in tackling clustering issues. It is suitable for clustering complex and non-separable datasets. Clustering is one of the broadly utilized data mining systems for stock market data investigation [10]. An extensive number of meta-heuristic algorithms have been developed for clustering. Meta heuristic algorithms have a few deficiencies, for example, the gradualness of their convergence and their sensitivity to instate values. The clustering algorithms arrange stock market index into clusters and the practically related indexes are gathered together in a proficient way [2].

3. Phases of Clustering Stock Market Data

Stock Market Data clustering methodology comprises the following steps.

a) Data Extraction
b) Clustering
c) Pattern Analysis

3.1 Data Extraction

This paper examines the daily change of the closing values of NSE-NIFTY and BSE-NIFTY based on the following predictors: Open price, High price, Low price and Close price. NSE-NIFTY and BSE-NIFTY values are obtained from the NSE and BSE websites respectively, for the period from Jan’2011 in April 2014 with a sample of 850 trading days. The data are divided into two sub-samples in the split up of 80:20 where the in-sample or training data spans from Jan’ 2011 to Sep’ 2013 with 680 trading days and the data for the remaining period from Oct 2013 to April 2014 with 170 trading days are used for out-of sample or test data.

3.2 Clustering

Clustering Stock data is the process of grouping the similar Index values into the same cluster based on return value by applying clustering techniques. Index in the same cluster has been often similar returns. This study compares three clustering techniques: PSO-K-Means, Firefly and BAT algorithm. These techniques are implemented and tested against our Stock Market dataset.

3.2.1 Objective function for Clustering

The indeed motto of the clustering algorithm is to hold in the intra-cluster remoteness and also to maximize the inter-cluster distance based on the distance measures, here the aim function is referred as validation measure. To demonstrate it briefly, we have preferred Mean square quantization error (MSQE) and sum of intra cluster distances (SICD) for comparative analysis. MSQE and SICD are briefly explained in Experimental Results.

3.2.2 PSO-K-Means Clustering

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm is one of the swarm intelligence methods and evolutionary optimization techniques are applied for clustering. PSO is a population-based, globalized search algorithm that utilizes the rules of the social behavior of the swarm and it is an effective, simple, and an efficient global optimization algorithm that can solve discontinuous, multimodal, and non-convex problems. It is computationally efficient and easier to implement when compared with other mathematical algorithms and evolutionary algorithms.

In PSO, N particles are moving around in the D dimensional search spaces. Each particle moves towards the nearest region. Each particle communicates with some other particle and is exaggerated by the best centroid point found by any member of its current centroid value pi. The vector pi for that best neighbour and is denoted by pg. Initialize the particle's location best known position to its initial position: pi ← xi.

Then correspondingly update the particles or the position of the centroid value position and their velocity in equation 1 to recognize the best planetary position in equation 2 or of the best centroid value to group the information. These steps are iterated until a termination criterion is satisfied. Ultimately after finding the global best position, best value of cluster centroid is obtained.

\[
v_{id} = w \cdot v_{id} + C_1 \cdot rand1 \cdot (P_{id} - x_{id}) + C_2 \cdot rand2 \cdot (P_{gd} - x_{id})
\]

\[
x_{id} = x_{id} + v_{id}
\]

Where, \( v_{id} \) : velocity of particle , \( x_{id} \) : current position of particle, \( W \) : weighting function, \( w = w_{max} - \frac{w_{max} - w_{min}}{iter_{max}} \cdot iter \), \( w_{min} \), \( w_{max} \) : initial and final weight, iter: current iteration, itermax : maximum iteration, c1 & c2 : determine the relative influence of the social and cognitive, components } p_{gd} : pbest of particle i, p_{gd}
gbest of the group. The personal best position of particle is calculated as follows

\[ p_{id}(t + 1) = \begin{cases} 
    p_{id}(t) & \text{if } f(x_{id}(t+1)) \leq f(p_{id}(t)) \\
    x_{id}(t) & \text{if } f(x_{id}(t+1)) > f(p_{id}(t))
\end{cases} \]

(3)

The particle to be drawn toward the best particle in the swarm is the global best position of each particle. At the start, an initial position of the particle is considered as the personal best and the global best can be identified with minimum fitness function value.

Algorithm 1: PSO-K-Means Clustering (Proposed Algorithm)

**Input:** \( D \) set of \( N \) Indexs, \( K - \)number of clusters

**Output:** \( K \) overlapping clusters of Indexs from \( D \) with associated membership value

**Step 1:** Assign each vector in the data set to the closest centroid vector

**Step 2:** Calculate the fitness value for each vector and update the velocity and Particle position, using equations (1) and (2) and generate the next solutions

**Step 3:** Repeat steps (2) and (3) by one of the following termination conditions is satisfied.

(a) The maximum number of iterations is exceeded

or

(b) The average change in centroid vectors between iterations is less than a predefined value

3.2.3 BAT K-Means

Bat algorithm is swarm intelligence based algorithm which is worked on the echolocation of bats. This algorithm was developed by [14]. It is a new metaheuristic algorithm for solving the many optimization problems. Bats are based on the echolocation behavior of bats. They can find their prey food and also they can know the different type of insects even in a complete darkness. Since we know that micro bats are the insectivore who have the quality of fascinating.

These bats use a type of sonar namely as echolocation. They emit a loud sound pulse and detect an echo that is coming back from their surrounding objects. Their pulse variation in properties and will be depend on the species. Their loudness also varies. When they are searching for their prey, their loudness is loudest if they are far away from the prey and they will become slow when they are nearer to the prey. Now for emission and detection of echo which are generated by them, they use time delay. And this time delay is between their two ears and the loudness variation of echoes [11].

The following formulae are used for their position \( x_i \) and velocities \( v_i \) when they are updated:

\[ f_i = f_{\text{min}} + (f_{\text{max}} - f_{\text{min}})\beta \]

(4)

\[ v_{ti} = v_{t-1i} + (x_{ti} - x^*)f_i \]

(5)

\[ x_{ti} = x_{t-1i} + v_{ti} \]

(6)

We realize that loudness is diminished when bat thought that it was prey or food, however the rate of pulse emanation expands. For effortlessness, we utilize loudness \( A_0 = 1 \) and minimum \( A_{\text{min}} = 0 \) that implies a bat discovered their prey and they quit making a sound. Where \( \beta \in [0, 1] \) is an random vector drawn from an uniform distribution. Here \( x^* \) is the current global best location (solution) which is located after comparing all the solutions among all the \( n \) bats. Generally speaking, contingent upon the domain size of the issue of investment interest, the frequency \( f \) is assigned to \( f_{\text{min}} = 0 \) and \( f_{\text{max}} = 100 \) in practical implementation. At first, each bat randomly given a frequency which is drawn consistently from \( [f_{\text{min}}, f_{\text{max}}] \). For the local search part, once an answer is chosen among the current best results, another answer for each one bat is produced generally utilizing random walk.

\[ x_{\text{new}} = x_{\text{old}} + \varepsilon At \]

(7)

The update of the velocities and positions of bats has some comparability to the methodology in the standard particle swarm optimization as though basically controls the pace and scope of the movement of the swarming particles. To some degree, BA could be considered as an adjusted mix of the standard particle swarm
optimization and the intensive local search controlled by the loudness and pulse rate. Besides, the loudness $A_i$ and the rate $r_i$ of pulse outflow overhaul in like manner as the iterations proceed.

**Algorithm 2: BAT-K-Means Clustering (Proposed Algorithm)**

**Input:** $D$ set of $N$ Indexes, $K$ -number of clusters  
**Output:** $K$ overlapping clusters of Indexes from $D$ with associated membership value

**Step 1:** initialize objective function, population, velocity, maximum iteration, time, pulse rate and loudness  
**Step 2:** Assign each vector in the data set to the closest centroid vector  
**Step 2:** Calculate the fitness value for vector and update the velocity and position, using equations (4) and (5) and generate the next solutions  
**Step 3:** Repeat steps (2) and (3) until one of the following termination conditions is satisfied.  
(a) The maximum number of iterations is exceeded  
or  
(b) The average change in centroid vectors between iterations is less than a predefined value

### 3.2.4 FIREFLY K-Means

Most of fireflies produced short and rhythmic flashes and have different flashing behavior. Fireflies use these flashes for communication and attracting the potential prey. YANG used this behavior of fireflies and introduced Firefly Algorithm in 2008. In Firefly algorithm, there are three idealized rules: 1) All fireflies are unisex. So, one firefly will be attracted to other fireflies regardless of their sex; 2) Attractiveness is proportional to their brightness. Thus, for any two flashing fireflies, the less brighter one will move towards the brighter one. The attractiveness is proportional to the brightness and they both decrease as their distance increases. If there is no brighter one than a particular firefly, it will move randomly; 3) The brightness of a firefly is determined by the landscape of the objective function. For a maximization problem, the brightness can simply be proportional to the value of the objective function [5].

**Algorithm 3: FIREFLY-K-Means Clustering (Proposed Algorithm)**

**Input:** $D$ set of $N$ index, $K$ -number of clusters  
**Output:** $K$ overlapping clusters of tags from $D$ with associated membership value

**Step 1:** initialize objective function, population, velocity, maximum iteration, time, light intensity and loudness  
**Step 2:** Assign each vector in the data set to the closest centroid vector  
**Step 2:** Calculate the fitness value for vector and update the light intensity and rank the fireflies (4) and (5) and generate the next solutions  
**Step 3:** Repeat steps (2) and (3) until one of the following termination conditions is satisfied.  
(a) The maximum number of iterations is exceeded  
or  
(b) The average change in centroid vectors between iterations is less than a predefined value

The firefly algorithm, there are two important issues, including variation of light intensity and the formulation of the attractiveness. For simplicity, it’s assumed that the attractiveness of a firefly is determined by its brightness hitch associated with the objective function of the optimization problem. Since a firefly’s attractiveness is proportional to the light intensity seen by adjacent fireflies, we can now formulate the attractiveness of a firefly by:

$$\beta(r) = \beta_0 e^{-\gamma^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where, $\beta_0$ is the attractiveness at $r = 0$ and $\gamma$ is the light absorption coefficient at the source. It should be noted that the $r_{ij}$ which is described by equation 2, is the Cartesian distance between any two fireflies $i$ and $j$ at $x_i$ and $x_j$, where, $x_i$
and \( x_j \) are the spatial coordinate of the fireflies \( i \) and \( j \), respectively.

The movement of a Firefly \( i \), which is attracted to another more attractive Firefly \( j \) is determined by:

\[
X_i = x_i + \beta_0 e^{-\gamma d^2}(x_j - x_i) + \alpha (\text{rand} - \frac{1}{2})
\]

(9)

where, the second term is the attraction while the third term is randomization, including randomization parameter \( \alpha \) and the random number generator \( \text{rand} \) which its numbers are uniformly distributed in interval \([0, 1]\). For the most cases of implementations, \( \beta_0 = 1 \) and \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \). The parameter \( \gamma \) characterizes the variation of the attractiveness and its value is important to determine the speed of the convergence and how the FA behaves. In the most applications, it typically varies from 0.01 to 100.

4 Pattern Analysis

In this segment, the validity measures such as MSQE and SICD are explained. The Validity Measure is an objective Function of the Clustering algorithms. Clustering algorithms which give the minimum MSQE and SICD value is the algorithm which provides better performance than others.

Mean square quantization error (MSQE)

\[
f(X, C) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \min \{ ||X_i - C_l||^2 \} \quad \text{where} \quad l = 1, \ldots, K
\]

(10)

where \( ||X_i - C_l||^2 \) is a Euclidean distance measure between the \( i \)th data point of the tag \( x_i \) and the \( l \)th cluster center \( C_l \), \( 1 < i < n \), \( 1 < j < K \) and is an indicator of the distance of the \( n \) tags from their respective cluster centroids [13] and \( K \) is the number of clusters.

Sum of intra cluster distance (SICD)

\[
J(C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_K) = \sum_{l=1}^{K} (\sum_{x_j \in C_l} ||Z_l - X_j||)
\]

(11)

The Euclidean distance between each data vector in a cluster and the centroid of that cluster is calculated and summed up. Here \( K \) is the number of clusters, \( Z_l \) represents cluster centroids, \( X_j \) is the data vector [12].

Experimental Analysis

The experimental data set is collected from NSE-NIFTY, which is a popular stock market index movement data. The information about the data sets contains names of dataset, the number of objects and number of Attributes, which are given in Table 1. In clustering stock market index data, the open, close, high, low, return values were treated as attributes and every month are treated as objects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Objects</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Url</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NSE-NIFTY</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>6</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nsedia.com/products/content/equities/indices/historical_index_data.htm">http://www.nsedia.com/products/content/equities/indices/historical_index_data.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BSE-SENSEX</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><a href="http://www.bseindia.com/products/content/equities/indices/historical_index_data.htm">http://www.bseindia.com/products/content/equities/indices/historical_index_data.htm</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance Analysis of clustering algorithms

In this section the performance of Benchmark algorithm K-Means are compared with the meta heuristic algorithm such as PSO-K-Means, BAT-K-Means Algorithm and Firefly-K-Means Algorithm based on MSQE and SICD validity measures.

K-Means: Benchmark algorithm
The performance of K-Means Benchmark algorithm is analyzed based on MSQE and SICD validity measures and the results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Performance Analysis of K-Means Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K-Means Clustering</th>
<th>NSE-NIFTY</th>
<th>BSE-NIFTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSQE</td>
<td>SICD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K=2-clusters</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>88.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K=5-clusters</td>
<td>15.95</td>
<td>84.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K=10-clusters</td>
<td>12.49</td>
<td>83.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 depicts the performance of TRS-K-Means for stock market data sets discussed here based on a MSQE validity measure.

Fig. 1. Performance of K-Means based on MSQE index

PSO-K-Means Clustering algorithm

The performance of PSO-K-Means Clustering algorithm is analyzed based on MSQE and SICD validity measures and the results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Performance Analysis of K-Means Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K-Means Clustering</th>
<th>NSE-NIFTY</th>
<th>BSE-NIFTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSQE</td>
<td>SICD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K=2-clusters</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>84.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K=5-clusters</td>
<td>15.18</td>
<td>81.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K=10-clusters</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>79.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 depicts the performance of TRS-K-Means for stock market data sets discussed here based on SICD validity measure.

Fig. 2. Performance of K-Means based on SICD index

Fig. 3 depicts the performance of PSO-K-Means for stock market data sets discussed here based on MSQE validity measure.

Fig. 3. Performance of PSO-K-Means based on MSQE index
Fig. 3. Performance of PSO-K-Means based on MSQE index

Fig. 4 depicts the performance of PSO-K-Means for stock market data sets discussed here based on SICD validity measure.

Fig. 4. Performance of PSO-K-Means based on SICD index

BAT-K-Means Clustering algorithm

The performance of BAT-K-Means Clustering algorithm is analyzed based on MSQE and SICD validity measures and the results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Performance Analysis of K-Means Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K-Means Clustering</th>
<th>NSE-NIFTY</th>
<th>BSE-NIFTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSQE</td>
<td>SICD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K= 2-clusters</td>
<td>16.27</td>
<td>83.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K= 5-clusters</td>
<td>14.52</td>
<td>79.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5 depicts the performance of BAT-K-Means for stock market data sets discussed here based on a MSQE validity measure.

Fig. 5. Performance of BAT-K-Means based on MSQE index

Fig. 6 depicts the performance of BAT-K-Means for stock market data sets discussed here based on SICD validity measure.

Fig. 6. Performance of BAT-K-Means based on SICD index

Firefly-K-Means Clustering algorithm

The performance of firefly-K-Means Clustering algorithm is analyzed based on MSQE and SICD validity measures and the results are shown in Table 5.
Table 5. Performance Analysis of K-Means Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K-Means Clustering</th>
<th>NSE-NIFTY MSQE</th>
<th>BSE-NIFTY MSQE</th>
<th>NSE-NIFTY SICD</th>
<th>BSE-NIFTY SICD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K= 2-clusters</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>81.27</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>69.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K= 5-clusters</td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>78.29</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>66.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K= 10-clusters</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>76.31</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>63.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7 depicts the performance of firefly-K-Means for various stock market data sets discussed here based on a MSQE validity measure.

Fig. 7. Performance of BAT-K-Means based on MSQE index

Comparative Analysis

In this section, the comparative analysis of K-Means Clustering, PSO-K-Means clustering, BAT-K-Means, and Firefly-K-Means clustering for stock market data using validity measures such as MSQE and SICD measures are provided. This section attempts to carry out comparative analysis of proposed algorithms K-Means clustering, PSO-K-Means, BAT-K-Means and Firefly-K-Means clustering, in terms of the quality of the clusters.

Comparative Analysis based on MSQE

The Table 6 shows the comparative analysis of clustering algorithms based on MSQE validity measures for stock market datasets. The experimental results showed that Firefly-K-Means clustering algorithm had shown better results than other clustering algorithms such as K-Means, PSO-K-Means and BAT-K-Means.

Table 6. Comparative analysis based on MSQE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K-Means Clustering</th>
<th>NSE-NIFTY</th>
<th>BSE-NIFTY</th>
<th>NSE-NIFTY</th>
<th>BSE-NIFTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K= 2-clusters</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>81.27</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>69.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K= 5-clusters</td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>78.29</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>66.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K= 10-clusters</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>76.31</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>63.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8 depicts the performance of firefly-K-Means for various stock market data sets discussed here based on SICD validity measure.

Fig 8. Performance of BAT-K-Means based on SICD index
Comparative analysis based on SICD

The Table 7 shows the comparative analysis of clustering algorithms based on SICD validity measure for stock market datasets. The experimental results showed that Firefly-K-Means clustering algorithm had shown better results than other clustering algorithms such as K-Means, PSO-K-Means and BAT-K-Means.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K-Means Clustering</th>
<th>NSE-NIFTY</th>
<th>BSE-NIFTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSO</td>
<td>BAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K=2 clusters</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K=5 clusters</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K=10 clusters</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interpretations of Result

Financial markets are highly volatile and generate huge amounts of data on a day to day basis. The present study applied the popular data mining technique for the task of prediction and clustering of the stock index values of NSE-NIFTY and BSE-NIFTY. The goal of stock market data clustering is to predict the period of highly returned investments. In general, we applied the clustering algorithm to stock market data and showed the results based on the stock market index (high return, low return, high risk and low risk). The clustering algorithms were successfully applied to cluster stock market data comprising into two distinct clusters based on the similarity of stock market index profiles and prior share market knowledge. Low level return index are clustered in one group and high level returns are clustered into another one as shown in Fig. 9.

Fig. 9 shows the higher returns of stock market using clustering the prediction is jan, mar, may, jul, sep, nov of every year gives the high returns and also low risk cluster 1

Conclusion

The clustering problem is a real important problem and has attracted much attention of many researchers. The proposed Clustering algorithm PSO-K-Means, BAT K-Means and FireflyK-Means which are compared with K-Means benchmark algorithm for Stock Market Dataset. The goodness of the clusters is obtained applying the two well-known standards such as MSQE and SICD. The comparative analysis shows that Firefly-K-Means had given the best performance over the other approaches for the Stock Market. This study is a first attempt from the different perspective of personalization and to best of our cognition. This attempt is a new direction in the field of Stock Market Prediction. The results obtained are very encouraging, proving the practical applicability of the stock market. This system adopted with the help of data mining techniques. Data mining techniques are widely applied for knowledge extraction in whole fields. Further studies are recommended in the area of data mining applications in stock markets to gain more useful insights about the predictability of stock markets.
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