In-Lab Drone’s Attitude Maneuvering Fluency Evaluation by a Gyroscopic Lurch Index
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Abstract: The present paper reports on the current progress about the laboratory-based assessment of the fluency of attitude maneuvering of a quadcopter. The manuscript illustrates a laboratory-based data-acquisition setup and a mathematical data-processing algorithm to test a novel attitude maneuvering fluency estimation index termed geometric lurch. The geometric lurch index is defined in terms of angular variables’ values as returned by gyroscopic sensors that a quadcopter vehicle is equipped with. The results of several numerical tests, conducted on both synthetic and real-world gyroscopic signals, show that the geometric lurch index is fairly sensitive to the fluency of attitude maneuvering.
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1 Introduction

A unmanned aerial vehicle is typically intended to be used as a search and rescue field robot as it affords high levels of maneuverability, including the ability to hover. An unmanned aerial vehicle is able to acquire and to transmit data to an operator situated at a safe vantage point [5]. The structure of a quad-rotor helicopter, hereafter a quadcopter, comprises four rotors attached at the ends of a symmetric light structure (see, e.g., the Figure 1). The key features of a quadcopter structure are rigidity and symmetry [5]. To avoid unstable flight phenomena and to improve maneuverability, the structure should be as rigid as possible, while maintaining the lightest possible weight. Symmetry is also of great importance, namely, the center of gravity should be kept as close to the middle of the drone as possible, so as to facilitate the control of the vehicle. Similar to a conventional helicopter, a quadcopter is a six degree-of-freedom, highly nonlinear, multi-variable, strongly coupled, and under-actuated system [5].

The availability of inexpensive commercial sensors to measure kinematic variables, such as position, speed and orientation, boosted the design of small flying objects controlled by a remote site by a human operator or by an automated control system.

In particular, in order to measure the orientation (or attitude) of a non-moving object (yaw, pitch and roll), a three-axis accelerometer may be employed. For a static vehicle, a three-axis accelerometer returns the value of the gravity field on three axes and, therefore, its orientation. In fact, since the gravitational force always points toward the center of the earth, we can know how the accelerometer device, and hence the vehicle, is tilted. Such method has been used in smartphones and may provide accurate results. However, if a vehicle starts translating in space, it becomes subjected to an additional force that changes its acceleration: The assumption that was made previously to compute its orientation will not hold true anymore, hence the calculated orientation will no longer be reliable.

Figure 1: A Parrot AR Drone Quadricopter, 2.0 Elite Edition.
The most commonly used sensor in quadcopter control is the gyroscopic sensor. A gyroscope returns the angular velocity around three axes of space, in degrees per seconds, while the actual attitude may be computed by appropriate numerical techniques on the basis of the acquired gyroscopic signals. A problem in quadcopter’s data acquisition and control is the sensitivity of sensors to vibrations and shocks due to the inner nature of the sensors, in particular:

- As mentioned, a gyroscope is only able to measure an angular rate, not an absolute orientation. Any numerical integration method to compute the actual attitude over a vehicle will unavoidably emphasize the contribution of the noise and of the vibrations/shocks in the measurements.

- All the common gyroscopes show a drift, which implies that even if a drone flies steadily or do not move at all, the sensor will notwithstanding output nonzero angular velocities. Depending on the quality of the sensor, the drift can be pretty large for some sensors (e.g., it can rise up to 2 degrees per second on a commercial gyroscope), therefore destroying the accuracy of the measurement when such readouts get numerically integrated to compute the actual attitude of the vehicle.

Practitioners know different ways of getting the most accurate orientation estimate from a combination of sensors readouts. The most common approach is a complementary filter. The idea behind the complementary filter is that the filtered accelerometer value of the angle is not subject to drift, therefore it may be used to correct the readouts from the gyroscope. The same complementary filter may be exploited when the above-mentioned sensors are used in conjunction with a magnetic compass (which returns the local direction of the earth’s magnetic field), to compute the attitude of the quadcopter. Another well-known method to filter out the artifacts from the sensed data is to preprocess the acquired measurements through a Kalman filter [6].

Most research studies in aerial vehicles control focus on the design of control strategies to ensure smooth maneuvering of drones [7]. However, unpredictable events, such as the drone being shoved by a strong gust of wind or being hit by another flying object, might make an attitude maneuver less smoother than predicted. The present paper focuses on the in-lab assessment of the fluency during maneuvering of a quadcopter vehicle by means of a mathematical algorithm that is able to reveal the vibration and shocks measured by gyroscopic sensors during an attitude maneuver.

The large amount of data arising from kinematic measurements call for a data-processing technique to evaluate the fluency during attitude maneuvering of a quadcopter. In particular, the present contribution proposes and tests a novel kinematic index termed gyroscopic lurch. The gyroscopic lurch arises as a mathematical extension of the known concept of Cartesian kinematic lurch, that is, of the time-derivative of the acceleration of a trajectory on a Cartesian (flat) space. The contribution [10] surveys several applications of the Cartesian lurch, namely, high dynamic motion aerial vehicle trace measurement, earthquake-resistant structures design, analysis of mechanisms of high-speed automatic control of machines, and study of human responses in high-speed moving vehicles.

The present manuscript aims primarily at proposing a mathematical algorithm to compute a numerical index that could work as a synthetic indicator of the fluency of attitude maneuvering and at illustrating a possible in-lab test-bed to conduct experiments on its numerical features. The current manuscript presents a preliminary study on the notion of geometric lurch and on its physical measurement and calculation. Possible real-world applications that are currently under investigation will be discussed in the concluding Section.

The current paper is organized as follows. The Section 2 illustrates the in-lab data-acquisition setup and recalls some fundamental notions from the mathematical theory of attitude maneuvering. The Section 3 defines the geometric lurch index in terms of angular variables sensed by gyroscopes that aerial vehicles are supposed to be equipped with. The Section 4 illustrates the results of numerical experiments, conducted on both synthetic and real-world, acquired data, about the estimation of the fluency of attitude maneuvering. The Section 5 concludes the paper.

2 In-lab acquisition of gyroscopic data

The Subsection 2.1 illustrates the laboratory setup used to acquire gyroscopic data from a quadcopter, while the Subsection 2.2 recalls some fundamental notions and properties from the mathematical theory of attitude maneuvering.

2.1 Data acquisition setup

The gyroscopic data that represent the instantaneous orientation of a quadcopter were collected in a laboratory through an Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) mounted on the drone, as a part of a typical setup for robot control [1].

The inertial measurement unit was selected as a SparkFun Electronics MPU-6050 integrated 6-axis
Motion-Tracking device that combines a 3-axis gyroscope and a 3-axis accelerometer [4] shown in the Figure 2. The MPU-6050 device consists of three independent vibratory MEMS\textsuperscript{1} gyroscopes, which detect a rotation about the \( X \), \( Y \), and \( Z \)-axes. When the gyroscopes are rotated about any of the sense axes, the Coriolis effect causes a vibration that is detected by a capacitive pickoff. The resulting signal is amplified, demodulated and filtered to produce a voltage signal that is proportional to the angular rate. Such voltage is digitized using individual on-chip 16-bit analog-to-digital converters to sample each axis. The full-scale range of the gyroscopic sensors may be digitally programmed to \( \pm 250 \), \( \pm 500 \), \( \pm 1000 \) or \( \pm 2000 \) degrees per second. The analog-to-digital converters sample rate is programmable from \( 8000 \) samples per second to \( 3.9 \) samples per second, and user-selectable low-pass filters enable a wide range of cut-off frequencies.

The IMU interfaces with an ARDUINO\textsuperscript{TM} Uno micro-controller board through an Inter Integrated Circuit (I\textsuperscript{2}C) bus, while the ARDUINO\textsuperscript{TM} board interfaces with a personal computer (PC) through a serial USB cable. The ARDUINO\textsuperscript{TM} is a commercial, standalone, general-purpose micro-controller used as interface to low-voltage electronic components, electro-mechanical components and electronic systems like personal computers, modems and wireless devices. The ARDUINO\textsuperscript{TM} Uno board is displayed in the Figure 3. The libraries used to pilot the MPU-6050 inertial measurement unit via an I\textsuperscript{2}C bus and to acquire the gyroscopic data in an appropriate format were written by J. Rowberg (http://www.sectorfej.net/) and are publicly available at https://github.com/jrowberg/i2cdevlib/tree/master/Arduino/MPU6050.

The MATLAB\textsuperscript{®} computing platform was used to acquire the signals from the IMU and to execute the data-processing algorithm of interest on the acquired signals. The MATLAB\textsuperscript{®} treats a port like a data-file, therefore, reading out the signals from the IMU via the ARDUINO\textsuperscript{TM} board may be performed like reading the records of a data-file, as shown in the code excerpt Listing 1. In this example, the PC was running a Linux OS and the ARDUINO\textsuperscript{TM} was supposed to connect to the USB port labeled ‘USB4’.

The gyroscopic signals were acquired under the form of a sequence of 3 angles \( (\psi_k, \theta_k, \phi_k) \), with \( k = 1, \ldots, N \), termed, respectively, yaw, pitch and roll, as illustrated in the Figure 4. An example of acquired angular data is shown in the Figure 5.

\section*{2.2 From the yaw-pitch-roll representation to the angular velocity vector}

Let us denote again by \( \psi \) the yaw angle, by \( \theta \) the pitch angle and by \( \phi \) the roll angle. Such variables represent the orientation of the drone with respect to a laboratory’s inertial reference system. The rotation matrix \( R \) corresponding to a triple \( (\psi, \theta, \phi) \) may be written as:

\[
R = R_z(\phi)R_y(\theta)R_x(\psi),
\]  

\text{(1)}
where the functions $R_x$, $R_y$ and $R_z$ denote standard rotation functions about the canonical axes [5]. During an attitude maneuver, the angles $(\psi, \theta, \phi)$ change with time and the rate of change of orientation of the drone varies according to the law

$$\dot{R} = \frac{d}{dt} R_x(\phi(t)) R_y(\theta(t)) R_z(\psi(t)).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

From the theory of 3D rotations, it is known that such change rate obeys the rule $\dot{R} = R \Omega$, where the matrix $\Omega$ is termed **angular velocity matrix** and is skew-symmetric, namely, of the form

$$\Omega(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega_1(t) & \omega_2(t) \\ -\omega_1(t) & 0 & \omega_3(t) \\ -\omega_2(t) & -\omega_3(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

The independent components of the angular velocity matrix may be computed by the inverse relationship $\Omega = R^T \dot{R}$ and were found to relate to the rate of change of the yaw-pitch-roll angular coordinates by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos(\phi) \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) & \sin(\phi) \\ \cos(\theta) \sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi) & 0 \\ \sin(\phi) & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\psi} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\phi} \end{bmatrix}. \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

The angular velocities $\omega_1$, $\omega_2$ and $\omega_3$ are the Cartesian coordinates of the angular velocity vector denoted as $\omega$.  

#### 3 Gyroscopic lurch index

The Subsection 3.1 defines the geometric lurch index in terms of angular variables sensed by gyroscopes, while Subsection 3.2 presents a comparison between the proposed geometric kinematic lurch index and the known Cartesian kinematic lurch index.

##### 3.1 Definition of gyroscopic lurch index

The kinematic state of a moving rigid object may be represented by the position of its center of mass and by a three-dimensional vector that describes its instantaneous rotation speed, termed **angular velocity**, denoted by $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$. In the present research endeavor, we focus on the evaluation of the rotational fluency of a quadcopter during attitude maneuvering. The direction of the vector $\omega$ represents the orientation of the rotation axis of the rigid object and its amplitude represents the actual rotation speed.

In terms of gyroscopic data only, the motion of a quadcopter may be described by a continuous-time signal $\omega(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ that represents the instantaneous rotation speed and orientation of the drone over time. The vector-field $\omega$ is a tangent vector-field in the trivialized tangent bundle of the Lie group of three-dimensional rotations and, as such, obeys the rules of calculus prescribed by differential geometry, upon metrization of the tangent bundle. The manuscript [9] describes the mathematical structure of such space and related calculations in details. The calculations are based on the notion of *covariant derivative* $\nabla$, that affords the calculation of the rate of change of a first vector field in the direction specified by a second vector field.

In order to evaluate the fluency of the rotational motion of a quadcopter, in robotics a specific vector field...
The computation of the gyroscopic lurch index starting from a Euler-angles data-sequence may be performed, in MATLAB® language, through the function in the Listing 2. In such computer program, the array \( \omega \) is of size \( 3 \times N \), where \( N \) represents the number of acquired samples.

### 3.2 Comparison with the Cartesian lurch

The gyroscopic lurch differs substantially from the popular Cartesian lurch used in other applications [10]. Given an angular speed field \( \omega \), its second-order time-derivative may be defined Cartesian lurch. In particular, denoting the Cartesian coordinates of \( \omega \) as

\[
\omega(t) = [\omega_1(t) \; \omega_2(t) \; \omega_3(t)]^T, 
\]

the squared scalar Cartesian lurch computes as

\[
\|\bar{\omega}\|^2 = \bar{\omega}_1^2 + \bar{\omega}_2^2 + \bar{\omega}_3^2. 
\]

Conversely, by using the Cartesian parametrization (9) in the formula to compute the squared scalar gyroscopic lurch, it is readily obtained that:

\[
\|\nabla_2^2 \omega\|^2 = \left( \frac{\ddot{\omega}_3 + \omega_1 \omega_2}{2} - \frac{\omega_2 \dot{\omega}_1}{2} \right)^2 
+ \left( \frac{\ddot{\omega}_2 - \omega_1 \omega_3}{2} + \frac{\omega_3 \dot{\omega}_1}{2} \right)^2 
+ \left( \frac{\ddot{\omega}_1 + \omega_2 \omega_3}{2} - \frac{\omega_3 \dot{\omega}_2}{2} \right)^2. 
\]

Apparently, the scalar gyroscopic lurch differs greatly from the scalar Cartesian lurch. For example, if in

\[
C_L = \frac{T^2}{S}. 
\]

and the normalization constant as

\[
L = C_L \int_0^T \|\dot{\omega}(t)\| dt, 
\]

where the symbol \( \nabla \omega \) denotes the standard three-dimensional vector cross product. The scalar gyroscopic lurch is the amplitude of the second-covariant derivative (5), namely, \( \|\nabla_2^2 \omega\| \), where the symbol \( \| \cdot \| \) denotes the standard Euclidean norm in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

If we denote by \([0, \; T]\) the time interval that the gyroscopic data measurement was taken over, an index of attitude maneuvering fluency may be defined as the time-integral of the scalar gyroscopic lurch by:

\[
L = C_L \int_0^T \|\dot{\omega}(t)\| dt, 
\]

where the constant \( C_L \) needs to be defined in a way that makes the index (6) independent of the maneuvering time and of the maneuvering speed, in order to enable a user to compare different values of the index (6) pertaining to different quadcopter trajectories.

In order to define such a scaling constant, we define first the accumulated angular speed as

\[
S = \int_0^T \|\omega(t)\| dt, 
\]

and the normalization constant as

\[
C_L = \frac{T^2}{S}. 
\]
a point of a trajectory the Cartesian lurch is negligible, namely $\dot{\omega}_1^2 + \dot{\omega}_2^2 + \dot{\omega}_3^2 \approx 0$, still the gyroscopic lurch may differ considerably from zero, because its squared value would approximately equal $\frac{1}{2}[(\omega_1 \dot{\omega}_2 - \omega_2 \dot{\omega}_1)^2 + (\omega_2 \dot{\omega}_3 - \omega_3 \dot{\omega}_2)^2 + (\omega_1 \dot{\omega}_3 - \omega_3 \dot{\omega}_1)^2]$.

### 4 Numerical assessments

The first experiment pertains to synthetic data, generated by means of a specific numerical algorithm, and was meant to evaluate the sensitivity of the gyroscopic lurch index $L$ (6) with respect to the known smoothness of a rotational signal, as explained in the Subsection 4.1. The second set of experiments, illustrated and discussed in the Subsection 4.2, refer to acquired data measured in different conditions.

#### 4.1 Numerical assessment of synthetic data

In order to simulate the change of orientation of a quadcopter during attitude maneuvering, a pseudo-random data generator, especially developed to simulate a fluent maneuvering and an erratic maneuvering mode, was made use of.

In particular, two data sets were synthetically generated by gluing together five sub-data-streams. Each sub-stream is of the type fluent (F) and erratic (E). The F-type sub-stream was generated through a formula described in [9], while the E-type sub-stream was generated via an auto-regressive moving average ARMA(1,0) system over the Lie group SO(3) described in [2, 3].

A number $N = 800$ of samples were generated for each data-set: The first synthetic gyroscopic data set was made up as the sequence ‘FFFFF’ of sub-streams, while the second data-set was made up as the sequence ‘FEFEF’ of sub-streams. The orientation angles corresponding to the two obtained gyroscopic data-sets are displayed in the Figure 6. It is interesting to note that the synthetic gyroscopic data illustrated in the Figure 6, namely a fluent maneuver and an erratic maneuver, are supposed to be the same except for a few shocks in the erratic attitude maneuvering, however, the two curves in each panel tend to diverge in time due to a (simulated) drift in the sensors.

The Figure 7 illustrates the physical meaning of a gyroscopic data by simulating the rotation of a rigid body according to the erratic signal. As it is readily appreciated, in some time-intervals the rigid object moves smoothly taking nearly similar orientations, while in some time-intervals the object’s orientation varies abruptly.

The results of the numerical evaluation of the accumulated angular speed $S$ and of the gyroscopic lurch index $L$ pertaining to such synthetic data are displayed in the Table 1. The obtained numerical results suggest that the gyroscopic lurch index is very sensitive to the attitude maneuvering fluency conveyed by gyroscopic data.

![Figure 6: Synthetic gyroscopic data. Graphical representation of the angles generated synthetically. (Dot-dashed line: Fluent attitude maneuvering mode ‘FFFFF’. Solid line: Erratic attitude maneuvering mode ‘FEFEF’.)](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acc. ang. velocity</th>
<th>Lurch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluent</td>
<td>0.8691</td>
<td>0.8552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erratic</td>
<td>1.2432</td>
<td>286.3964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Indexes calculated on two synthetic gyroscopic data.

#### 4.2 Numerical assessment of acquired quadcopter data

The acquired gyroscopic data are referred to a number of experiments on different attitude maneuvering strategies operated by the quadcopter shown in the Figure 8.

A first set of data is shown in the following Figures. In particular, the data pertaining to the Maneuver 1 are shown in the Figure 9, the data pertaining to the Maneuver 2 are shown in the Figure 10, the data pertaining to the Maneuver 3 are shown in the Figure 11 and the data pertaining to the Maneuver 4 are shown in the Figure 12.

The data were acquired with a sampling period of about 10ms. From the Figures, it is immediate to recognize that the first 2000 samples, approximately, do not carry any relevant information about the vehicle
orientation as the corresponding signal portion is due to the self-setup and calibration of the gyroscopic sensor. In the evaluation of the geometric lurch index, the first 2000 samples were, therefore, discarded.

The results of the numerical evaluation of the accumulated angular speed $S$ and of the gyroscopic lurch index $L$ pertaining to such real-world data are summarized in Table 2. The obtained numerical results confirm that the gyroscopic lurch is fairly sensitive to the attitude maneuvering fluency conveyed by the acquired gyroscopic data. In particular, according to the fluency ranking induced by the geometric lurch index, the Maneuver 2 is substantially more fluent compared to the Maneuvers 1, 3 and 4.

We believe that it is interesting to compare the values of the cumulative lurch versus the value of the cumulative velocity for the four maneuvers, where the above indexes are defined as:

$$\text{Cumulative velocity at time } t = \int_0^t \|\omega(t)\| \, dt,$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$\text{Cumulative lurch at time } t = T^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla^2 \omega(t)\| \, dt,$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

for $0 \leq t \leq T$. The value of the cumulative lurch versus the value of the cumulative velocity for the four maneuvers are illustrated in Figure 13. In the experiment pertaining to such first real-world data-set, the curves resulted from plotting the cumulative lurch versus the cumulative velocity may be roughly approximated by straight lines. Note that the lurch index (6) is defined as the ratio

$$L = \frac{\text{Cumulative lurch at time } T}{\text{Cumulative velocity at time } T}$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

because of the normalization constant $C_L$. Therefore, in this experiment, the slope of the approximating straight lines is proportional to the geometric lurch index. Such observation reveals that what actually matters is the ratio between the accumulated lurch and the accumulated velocity, rather than their values singularly. In fact, without such a normalization, a long smooth flight will result more erratic than a short bumpy flight, just because of the cumulative nature of the lurch index. Also note that the curve related to the Maneuver 3 and, even more clearly, the curve pertaining to the Maneuver 4 exhibit a stairs-like shape, which correspond to the shocks that are visible in the Figures 11 and Figures 12.

A second set of gyroscopic signals were acquired by mimicking the actual flight of a quadcopter. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maneuver</th>
<th>Accum. ang. velocity</th>
<th>Lurch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maneuver 1</td>
<td>18.59</td>
<td>12.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maneuver 2</td>
<td>29.98</td>
<td>8.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maneuver 3</td>
<td>40.85</td>
<td>15.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maneuver 4</td>
<td>108.66</td>
<td>14.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: First real-world data-set: Indexes calculated on four gyroscopic data-sets acquired in the laboratory.
results of the numerical evaluation of the accumulated angular speed $S$ and of the gyroscopic lurch index $L$ pertaining to such real-world data sets are summarized in the Table 3. The obtained numerical results confirm that the gyroscopic lurch is fairly sensitive to the attitude maneuvering fluency conveyed by the acquired gyroscopic data. According to the fluency ranking induced by the geometric lurch index, the Maneuver 6, whose acquired gyroscopic signals are shown in the Figure 14, is the most fluent attitude maneuver in this data-set, while the Maneuver 2, whose acquired angular signals are shown in the Figure 15, is the most erratic one.

The value of the cumulative lurch versus the value of the cumulative velocity for the six maneuvers are illustrated in the Figure 16. The curves shown in the Figure 16 can summarize a whole attitude maneuver. We conjecture that, in a general case, the local slope of such curves, which could be measured even in real time by means of an appropriate mathematical algorithm, might carry on meaningful information about the status of a flying drone. Such aspect has not been investigated in the present research endeavor and will be the subject of future studies.

5 Conclusion
The present manuscript illustrates a laboratory-based data-acquisition setup and a mathematical data-processing algorithm to test a novel attitude maneuvering fluency estimation index termed geometric lurch. After recalling some fundamental notions from
the mathematical theory of attitude maneuvering, we define the geometric lurch index in terms of angular variables’ values as returned by gyroscopic sensors that quadcopter-type aerial vehicles are equipped with. The results of several numerical tests, conducted on both synthetic and real-world gyroscopic signals, show that the geometric lurch index is fairly sensitive to the fluency of attitude maneuvering.

The next steps of the present research will be to explore the sensitivity of the geometric lurch index in a series of outdoor tests by equipping the quadcopter with an acquisition system that is able to transmit the sensed gyroscopic data wirelessly to a remote personal computer in order to investigate on the usefulness of the lurch index in a real-time control problem. Moreover, in order to adapt the proposed geometric lurch index to real-time processing, it will be necessary to reformulate the proposed method to compute the lurch index as a computationally-light, recursive, on-line algorithm.

Further research activities could be conducted about the investigation of the sensitivity of the lurch index on self-balanced autonomous ground vehicles instead of unmanned aerial vehicles. On these vehicles, the lurch index could be used for the inclination fluency estimation, to identify the sensitivity of sensors to vibrations and shocks due to the rough terrains.
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Figure 16: Second real-world data-set: Cumulative lurch versus cumulative velocity corresponding to Maneuvers 1–6.


